

Technical Choice, Innovation and British Steam Engineering, 1800-1850

Alessandro Nuvolari
(Eindhoven University of Technology)

Bart Verspagen
(University of Maastricht)

Summary

The development of the high pressure expansive engine represented a watershed in the evolution of steam power technology, allowing the attainment of major fuel economies. In Britain, Cornish engineers took the lead in the exploration of this specific technological trajectory. Notwithstanding its superior fuel efficiency was widely popularized, the high pressure expansive engine did not find widespread application in other steam-using regions (in particular in Lancashire), where the favourite option remained the Watt low pressure engine. In this paper, we provide a reassessment of the factors accounting for the precocious adoption of the high pressure steam engine in Cornwall and for its delayed fortune in the rest of Britain.

Corresponding author: Alessandro Nuvolari, Eindhoven University of Technology, Pav Q 1.21, P. O. Box 513, 5600 MB, Eindhoven, The Netherlands. E-mail: a.nuvolari@tue.nl

Traditional accounts of the British industrial revolution have, more or less explicitly, assumed that a wide range of industrial sectors rapidly benefited from the development of steam power technology. Rostow's work can be considered as representative of this view. Rostow dated the British "take-off" to the years 1783-1802, linking it explicitly with the commercialization of the Boulton and Watt engine.¹ More recent research has suggested that such a direct link between steam power and early industrialization is actually spurious. The available shreds of evidence suggest that during the late eighteenth and early nineteenth century the British economy was still dominated by the extensive use of animal, wind and water power.² Furthermore, the economy-wide repercussions of the progressive adoption of steam technology remained circumscribed until at least the 1840s.³ Therefore, it seems that traditional accounts have improperly conflated the early development of the steam engine (in particular the invention of the Watt engine) with its economic significance. In contrast, Von Tunzelmann and Crafts point out that the widespread adoption of the steam engine had to await a number of improvements that progressively reduced its power costs relative to other energy sources.

Crafts' recent calculations show that steam power began to contribute significantly to overall productivity growth only from the 1830s and that it exerted its major impact only in the second half of the nineteenth century. According to Crafts, the key-factor accounting for this increasing economic impact was the reduction of annual costs per horsepower related to the adoption of the high-pressure expansive engine. The "expansive use" of high-pressure steam (i.e., cutting off the steam when the piston was at the beginning of its course and letting the expansion of the steam inside the cylinder complete the stroke) permitted the attainment of major fuel economies, increasing the cost effectiveness of steam power.⁴

Interestingly enough, already in the 1810s and 1820s, before the establishment of a consolidated theoretical understanding of the operation of the steam engine, Cornish engineers had systematically explored the technical and economic advantages of the high-pressure expansive engine. However, in the rest of Britain, although the gains in fuel efficiency related to the adoption of Cornish practices had been popularized in several engineering publications, the favorite option remained the Watt low-pressure engine at least until the mid 1840s.

The aim of this paper is to examine the factors accounting for the precocious adoption of high-pressure expansive engines in the Cornish mining district and investigate the "retardation" factors which may account for its delayed adoption in other contexts. In section I we reconstruct technical progress in Cornish steam engineering, and introduce the potential factors behind the extraordinary performance achieved in Cornwall. In section II, we use a quantitative methodology to assess the relative contribution of the different factors driving improvements in engine performance and discuss how this relates to the peculiar context in which steam power was employed in Cornwall, in particular the rapid growth of the Cornish mining industry in the first half of the nineteenth century. In section III, we examine the issue of the different choice of technique in Cornwall vs. other steam-using regions, providing a re-assessment of the contemporary debate. Section IV contains our interpretation of the delayed adoption of the high-pressure expansive engine outside Cornwall, based

¹ Rostow, *How It All Began*, pp. 164-167. See also Rostow, *Stages*, p. 60 and, somewhat more cautiously, Landes, *Unbound Prometheus*, pp. 99-103.

² Kanefsky, *Diffusion* especially pp. 188-233.

³ Von Tunzelmann, *Steam Power*, ch. 6 and Crafts, 'Steam as a general purpose technology'.

⁴ Crafts, "Steam as general purpose technology", especially p. 345.

on insights from some recent literature on the economics of technological change. Section V concludes.

I

The first technically successful use of high-pressure steam can be ascribed to the “puffer” engines designed by Richard Trevithick around 1800. In these engines, high-pressure steam, after the stroke, was discharged into the atmosphere, rather than being conveyed into the separate condenser. The chief advantage of these engines was their compactness and cheaper cost of installation (due to the elimination of the condenser, the air pump and the beam). However, this high-pressure non-condensing engine did not make use of early cut-off and was less efficient than Watt low-pressure engines, as it tended to consume about 25% more coal.⁵ In Britain, the compactness of this engine design would make it the most natural option for railway use, but it did not find widespread use in industrial applications where the Watt low-pressure engine remained dominant. Instead, in the United States, the high-pressure non-condensing engine design (in the version developed by Oliver Evans) soon became the standard form of mill engine.⁶ Halsey has shown that this choice of technique reflected the peculiarities of many American locations in terms of relatively low fuel costs and high interest rates.⁷

The use of high-pressure steam for attaining fuel economies was the outcome of the parallel efforts of the Cornish engineers Richard Trevithick and Arthur Woolf. In the early 1810s they developed engines in which high-pressure steam was employed expansively. These engines also made use of the separate condenser which permitted the exploitation of a larger range of operating temperatures (although the rationale for this design feature was not understood until the 1850s with the formulation of classical thermodynamics). The layout of the high-pressure condensing engine developed by Trevithick, making use of beam, separate condenser and air pump, was substantially the same as a Watt low-pressure engine, with the key exception of a new form of tubular boiler for the generation of high-pressure steam.⁸ Woolf instead adopted a so-called compound design in which steam was expanded subsequently in two cylinders.

It is not surprising that these pioneering developments of the high-pressure expansive engine took place in the Cornish mining district. By comparison with other locations, one of the distinctive features of the Cornish mining economy was the high price of coal. As a result, Cornish mining entrepreneurs were keenly interested in improvements in the fuel efficiency of the steam engines that could curtail their costly fuel bills. From 1811, they sponsored a monthly publication containing detailed reports on the performance (measured in millions of lbs. of water lifted one foot high per consumption of a bushel of coal, or, as it was termed by contemporary engineers, the “duty” of the engine), technical details and operating procedures of the steam engines at work in the county.⁹ The explicit intention was twofold. First, the publication would permit the rapid identification and

⁵ Von Tunzelmann, *Steam power*, p. 22.

⁶ Hunter, *History*, pp. 118-172.

⁷ Halsey, “The choice between high-pressure and low-pressure steam power in America”.

⁸ Later on, this type of boiler would be termed “Cornish” in the contemporary engineering literature.

⁹ Contemporary engineering measures of fuel efficiency such as duty or lbs of coal per HP-hour assessed the overall performance of the steam engine apparatus (boiler + engine). A more modern appraisal would distinguish between the performance of the boiler and that of the engine. In this paper, we will, by and large, adopt the contemporary practice of referring to the aggregate performance of the engine-boiler system.

diffusion of best-practice techniques. Second, it would create a climate of competition in the Cornish engineering community with favourable effects on the rate of technical progress. Joel Lean, a highly respected mine "captain" was entrusted with the compilation of the reports and the publication was generally known as *Lean's Engine Reporter*.¹⁰

In a previous article, one of us has argued that the Cornish mining district in the first half of the nineteenth century can be seen as an example of what Robert Allen has termed "collective invention settings". Within "collective invention settings", rival firms or independent individual inventors freely release to one another *pertinent* information concerning the solution of technical problems, rather than appropriating it by means of patents or secrecy. Each firm, in turn, makes use of the received information to incrementally improve on a basic common technological design. In Cornwall, the chief channel through which information concerning the technological characteristics and the performance of the engines was released was clearly *Lean's Engine Reporter*.¹¹

Figure 1 displays the duty of the pumping engines at work in Cornish mines over the period 1811-1890 as reported in *Lean's Engine Reporter*.¹² The figure also contains some information on the duty of engines for earlier periods, collated from various sources. Throughout this paper, we will make use of the duty reported in the month of April, as a general proxy for the duty performed in a given year.¹³ Figure 1 shows that the behaviour of the April series is indeed very similar to the corresponding series for the entire year as calculated by the Leans.

Figure 1 about here

Figure 1 indicates that the introduction of the practice of reporting went hand-in-hand with a sustained improvement in the fuel-efficiency of the engines. The figure also suggests that the exploitation of the advantages of using high pressure expansively was not achieved instantly, but by means of a process of gradual technological learning. The "weighted duty" series represents the average duty weighted by the share of the engines in the total horsepower delivered by the reported engine-park. This series closely mirrors the simple average duty. The weighted average slightly

¹⁰ The first three reports were published on *West Briton*, a local newspaper. From 1812, *Lean's Engine Reporter* appeared as an independent publication. Joel Lean died in September 1812. After his death, the reporter was first continued by his sons Thomas (I) and John, and other members of the Lean family later on. The final years (1897-1904) were covered by J. C. Keast. See Howard, *Mr. Lean* for biographical details of the various compilers of the reports. Also the name of the publication changed over time. In this paper, for sake of convenience, we shall refer to the various reports simply as *Lean's Engine Reporter*.

¹¹ Allen, "Collective invention". For a discussion of the operation of collective invention in Cornish mining district, see Nuvolari, "Collective invention during the British industrial revolution". A different view in which the reporting of, sometimes inflated, engine duties is regarded as reflecting the attempt of some engineers such as Woolf of augmenting their reputation in the interest of their own business, is suggested in Howard, *Mr. Lean*. For responses arguing that the duty figures reported should be considered as broadly accurate and that the chief motivation of *Lean's Engine Reporter* was a genuine desire of stimulating technical progress, see Cantrell, "Review" and Nuvolari and Verspagen, "*Lean's Engine Reporter*".

¹² In 1857 the duty measure was changed in millions of lbs lifted one foot high per consumption of a cwt (112 lbs.) of coal. In this paper all duty figures have been converted to a uniform standard of millions of lbs. lifted one-foot high per consumption of one bushel (94 lbs) of coal.

¹³ The series for the month of April have been constructed using the almost complete collection of *Lean's Engine Reporter* conserved in the Cornish Studies Library (Cornwall Centre), Redruth. We have integrated some missing or unreadable pages, retrieving the figures from the collection of *Lean's Engine Reporter* conserved in the Science Museum Library, London.

outperforms the simple average indicating that more efficient engines also tended to deliver more horsepower.

Figure 2 around here.

Figure 2 provides a comprehensive view of the evolution of the duty distribution of the engine park reported. In the figure the density of the duty distribution in a particular year has been estimated using an Epanenchinkov kernel.¹⁴ The duty performed is reported on the vertical axis. Darker (lighter) areas indicate higher (lower) concentration of engines. Initially the distribution of the engines is rather concentrated (around a duty of about 20 millions). Then we can distinguish a prolonged phase of increasing dispersion of the density coupled with a growth in average duty. From the 1840s the “width” of the distribution appears to narrow down and then remains stable.

Two main factors that can account for the wide and growing dispersion of the distribution of duty around the mean. First, as the mining industry expanded, engines were forced to operate in a variety of contexts, ranging from very favourable to sub-optimal operating conditions. Second, since improvements in designs and operating procedures had been attained by extrapolation and guesswork, the actual performance of an engine remained surrounded by a good deal of uncertainty.

In a nutshell, the main technical developments responsible for the rapid growth of duty in the period 1810-1840 can be summarized as follows: i) adoption of tubular boilers for the generation of high-pressure steam, ii) increasing use of early cut-off, iii) adoption of force-pumps instead of suction pumps and stronger pitwork which permitted a more effective use of the expansive operation of the engine, iv) extensive use of steam jacketing and clothing of pipes.¹⁵ These developments were coupled with a number of inventions of a more incremental and “disembodied” character (improvements in the design of valves and water gauges for boilers, more effective management of the fires, better cleaning of the engine and boilers).¹⁶

By the mid 1840s the Cornish engine had probably reached its practical limits. Carried to the extreme with pressures reaching 50 p.s.i., the expansion of steam produced an extremely powerful shock on the piston and the pitwork. Such an operating cycle increased the probability of breakages in the pitwork accelerating the wear and tear of the engine.¹⁷ One can interpret this phase as one in which decreasing returns to further development of the Cornish expansive design set in. In fact, figure 1 shows that after the early 1840s, the duty of Cornish engines actually declined. This is startling because, at first sight, it might be interpreted as a curious form of “technological retrogression”. Contemporaries debated the possible factors responsible for this decline of the duty. Overall, it is possible to identify three main factors responsible the deterioration. First, over time, the number of diagonal, rather than perpendicular, pumping shafts increased. In particular, table 1 shows that, from the 1850s, the share of engines working “diagonal” shafts grew steadily. This meant that

¹⁴ See Silverman, *Density Estimation* for an introduction to kernel density estimation techniques.

¹⁵ Barton, *Cornish Engine*, pp. 28-58 and pp. 88-117.

¹⁶ Von Tunzelmann, “Technological diffusion”, pp. 94-95.

¹⁷ Barton, *Cornish Engine*, p. 59 cites an interesting passage from the *West Briton*: “all the coal saved above 70 millions duty is paid for at too dear price in the racking of the engine and pump-work and the increased liability to breakage”. There is no date specified, but it is likely that the statement is referring to the late 1840s. See also Burt, *A Short History*, p. 91.

an increasing amount of work was consumed by friction, leading to a deterioration of the duty. This also contributes to explain the persistent wide dispersion of duty around the mean in figure 2.

Table 1 around here

A second factor that contributed to the deterioration of duty was the lower quality of the coal used in Cornwall since the early 1840s, an interpretation put forward in a number of contemporary accounts.¹⁸ Finally the progressive decline of the duty also coincides with stagnation in Cornish mining beginning in the 1850s. As we shall see in the next section, one of the main forces that had driven the growth of duty in the first half of the nineteenth century was a sustained wave of investment in new engines which had been fuelled by the expansion of mining production. In the second half of the nineteenth century, the investment in new engines became sluggish and this had negative repercussions on further improvements in duty.¹⁹

As suggested by Walter Vincenti, engineers tend to make use of systematic data collection to *bypass* the absence of an adequate scientific understanding of the operation of a technology.²⁰ This was exactly the situation of early nineteenth century steam power technology, when no full-fledged understanding of the working of the steam engine was available. Systematic collection and analysis of performance data allowed Cornish engineers to determine guidelines that could successfully be used to design efficient steam engines.

Unfortunately, *Lean's Engine Reporter* does not include information on a number of important technical characteristics and operating procedures that are intimately linked with the performance improvements described above (e.g. steam pressure in boilers,²¹ rate of expansion or cut-off point, etc.). In this respect, we should take into account that much more information, besides the tables of the reporter, was shared by Cornish engineers, by means of informal contacts, visits to particularly interesting engines, correspondence, etc.²² We can surmise the role played by *Lean's Engine Reporter* in guiding the search for effective design principles by considering the development of the cylinder size of the engines. In 1859, in a paper read to the South Wales Institution of Civil Engineers, James Sims presented a detailed description of dimensions, proportions, operating procedures of an 'ideal' Cornish engine.²³ The entire tone of the paper was such as if Sims was expounding a fairly established conventional wisdom. Sims recommended 85" as the optimal size of cylinder diameter (if more power was needed, Sims suggested to install two engines, rather than erect one with larger cylinder diameter). It is likely that the definition of this optimal size was the result of the elaboration of the performance data of the *Reporter*. Writing in 1839, the Leans constructed tables containing the average duty of engine of different cylinder size showing that "the duty performed advances with the size of the engine, till it reaches a certain point (namely, 80"

¹⁸ See Farey, *Treatise*, vol II and Sims, "Cornish Engine". The use of coal of lower quality could be justified in economic terms, as low quality coal was cheaper, see Von Tunzelmann, "Technological diffusion", pp. 97-98 and Burt, *A Short History*, p. 91.

¹⁹ A similar link between expansion of productive capacity and performance improvement was also noted by Allen in his study of the Cleveland iron industry, see Allen, "Collective invention", pp. 14-15.

²⁰ Vincenti, *What engineers*, ch. 5.

²¹ Boiler steam pressures began to be reported by the Leans in the late 1840s.

²² Farey, *Treatise*, vol II. This is also confirmed by the accounts of Thomas Wicksteed, "On the effective power" and William Pole, *Treatise* who in their visit to Cornwall had the possibility of having free access to all the installed engines.

²³ Sims, "On the Cornish engine".

cylinder) and then recedes.”²⁴ Farey also made analogous remarks on the basis of a table constructed with the data of year 1835.²⁵ Figure 3 reports as histograms the tables constructed by the Leans illustrating the existence of scale economies in duty up to approximately 80-85” cylinder size, with diseconomies of scale taking place afterwards. It is interesting to note the abrupt transition to diseconomies of scale after 85”. Speculatively, this was probably due either to problems of heat conservation in engines with largest cylinders or to difficulties in operating the largest engines with a rate of expansion which would optimize fuel consumption. Although noted by contemporaries, this peculiar "scaling" behaviour of the Cornish engine has received very little attention by modern historians of technology.

Figure 3 around here

This case provides a good illustration of how the data of the *Reporter* were employed to refine the design of the Cornish engine (in the example, the data permitted the identification of the optimal cylinder size). In Table 2 we show the evolution of the distribution of the engines reported by cylinder size. The table indicates that in the initial period (1810s), the bulk of the reported engine park is constituted by engines with cylinder diameter of 60”-69”. Over time the share of engines of larger sizes (70”-79”, 80”-89” and 90”-99”) becomes predominant. This is not surprising, as the progressive deepening of mining operations required the use of larger engines. However, in the light of the evidence discussed here, the shift towards larger engines can, at least partially, be interpreted also as a reallocation of productive capacity towards better performing engines, following the extrapolation of the data published in the *Reporter*.

In Cornwall, a great part of the engineers' attention was without doubt devoted to pumping engines. This was the application for which the high-pressure expansive engine was designed and progressively refined. However, from the late eighteenth century, Cornish mines were also employing steam power for drawing ore to the surface (so called ‘whim’ engines) and from the early 1800s for driving the stamping machinery that was used for crushing mineral ores (stamping engines). Figure 4 shows the evolution of average and maximum duty for these two types of engines. Both whim and stamping engines were delivering rotative power and for this reason the use of duty as indicator of performance is somewhat capricious. Duty was calculated by converting the amount of work performed by the engines in foot-pounds and then divided by the amount of coal consumed. It is likely that the calculation was very imprecise. For this reason, it is necessary to interpret these figures with more caution than of those of pumping engines.

In figure 4, two points merit attention. First, it is clear that the acceleration in the improvement of performance (which begins in the mid 1830s) is delayed in comparison to pumping engines. The second point is that the maximum performances attained throughout the period were lower than those of pumping engines. Cornish pumping engines were operated by means of a very irregular cycle that allowed the full exploitation of the expansion of high-pressure steam in the cylinder. This way of operation was not particularly suited for delivering rotative power to machinery which required a smoother action and a consequent reduction of the rate of expansion. Further, it is also worth noting that, given their relative small share in the overall coal costs of a mine, efficiency was a

²⁴ Lean, *Historical Statement*, p.139.

²⁵ Farey, *Treatise*, vol II, p. 259.

somewhat minor concern for this type of engines (this explains their relative neglect in the 1810s and 1820s), the main goal being the maximization of the power delivered by the rotative engine.²⁶

II

It is possible to probe the forces underlying the improvement of the high-pressure expansive pumping engine in Cornwall by carrying out an accounting exercise that examines the behaviour of different segments of the engine population (more specifically we distinguish between existing and new engines). It is important to note that some innovations could, to some extent, be retrofitted into existing engines. Von Tunzelmann has specifically argued that this continuous upgrading of installed capacity permitted a rapid diffusion of technical improvements and was one of the main factors responsible for the sustained improvement of the average performance of Cornish engines in the first half of the nineteenth century.²⁷

The analytical tool that we will use resembles the decomposition exercises that, in the industrial economics literature, are employed to single out the contributing factors to productivity growth in “longitudinal” data sets of industrial plants or firms.²⁸ In our case, we will consider the weighted average of duty as an aggregate indicator of the technological performance of our engine park. The weighted average duty in a given year is given by:

$$(1) \quad \bar{D}_t = \sum_{i=1}^J S_{i,t} D_{i,t}$$

where J = number of engines in operation at time t ; $S_{i,t}$ = share of horsepower delivered by engine i in year t on the total horsepower employed in the same year; $D_{i,t}$ = duty performed by engine i in year t .

Using the following decomposition formula it is possible to identify the main contributing factors underlying the growth of weighted average duty:

$$(2) \quad \frac{\bar{D}_t - \bar{D}_{t-1}}{\bar{D}_{t-1}} = \frac{\sum_{i \in C} (D_{it} - D_{it-1}) S_{it-1}}{\bar{D}_{t-1}} + \frac{\sum_{i \in C} (S_{it} - S_{it-1}) (D_{it-1} - \bar{D}_{t-1})}{\bar{D}_{t-1}} +$$

$$+ \frac{\sum_{i \in C} (S_{it} - S_{it-1}) (D_{it} - D_{it-1})}{\bar{D}_{t-1}} + \frac{\sum_{i \in N} (D_{it} - \bar{D}_{t-1}) S_{it}}{\bar{D}_{t-1}} - \frac{\sum_{i \in X} (D_{it} - \bar{D}_{t-1}) S_{it}}{\bar{D}_{t-1}}$$

²⁶ Burt, *A Short History*, pp. 96-97. In 1835 the amount of coal consumed by stamping and winding engines in Cornwall was about one fifth of the coal consumed by pumping engines, see Lean, *Historical Statement*, p. 146.

²⁷ Von Tunzelmann, "Technological diffusion", pp. 93-95.

²⁸ Bartelsman and Doms, "Understanding productivity"

In the formula C represents the set of “continuing” engines, N the set of new engines (i.e., engines installed in year t) and X the set of “exiting” engines (i.e. engines active in year $t - 1$ that were scrapped in year t).

The first term on the right hand side represents a “within” engine component accounting for the improvement of “continuing” engines weighted by their initial share. This term captures the more efficient operation of installed productive capacity (arising from learning by using or from technical improvements that were retrofitted into existing engines, etc.). The term may be regarded as a measure of the "disembodied" component of technical progress. Note that whenever the physical deterioration of the engines is not counterbalanced by maintenance and repairs and by the “disembodied” component of technical change, the term will assume a negative value.

The second term on the right hand side represents a “between” engine component. This term reflects the increase of the average duty due to the reallocation of the installed capacity from worse to better engines (or vice versa). Note that the term is expressed as a deviation from the mean. Overall, this term can be considered to reflect the degree of efficiency in the management of the existing engine park (an effective management of installed productive capacity would require to the most efficient engines to deliver more horsepower). By virtue of the "rigidities" involved in the use of steam power for draining mines we may expect this term to be relatively small.

The third term on the right hand side represents a “cross” effect, capturing the growth of the average duty determined by the reallocation of productive capacity towards more “dynamic” engines, that is engines showing higher duty growth rates (note that the “between” engine component reflects the reallocation of capacity towards engines with higher duty *levels*). Also this term can be seen as representing a technology management aspect, and we expect it to be relatively small.

The fourth term on the right hand side measures the improvement of the average duty due to the introduction of new engines, which can be regarded as the contribution of "embodied" technical change. This term is, again, expressed as a deviation from the mean, so, to give a positive contribution to average duty growth, a “new” engine should perform a higher duty than the average duty of the previous period. As engines were sometimes moved from one mine to another, in some cases, it is difficult to identify whether an engine that appears in the columns of the *Reporter* is new or an existing engine transferred from another mine. This can bias the decomposition exercise, introducing an overestimation of the “entry effect” and an underestimation of the “within” effect.

Finally, the fifth term represents the effect due to the scrapping of existing capacity. Also in this case the term is expressed as a deviation from the mean. Accordingly, only the scrapping of engines with below average performance contributes positively to duty growth.

Table 3 reports the results of the decomposition exercise. We have considered ten year intervals.²⁹ The first three intervals, from 1814 to 1844, cover the phase of sustained duty improvement in figure 1. In this phase the predominant contribution to duty growth is given by the “entry” effect (installation of new engines). The “within” engine effect is also positive, indicating the existence of

²⁹ Alternatives periodizations (3,5,7, and 12 years) were tried, leading to very similar results.

possibilities for improving the performance of existing engines by means of processes of learning by using, and other forms of “disembodied” technical change.

Table 3 about here

In fact, our results indicate that - although the “upgrading” of installed engines, throughout the years 1814-1834, gave a positive contribution to duty growth - the installation of new engines represented the *major* driving factor accounting for duty growth.³⁰ In other words, our results suggest that technical progress in Cornish steam engineering in the first half of the nineteenth century assumed essentially an “embodied” form and could be realized only when investment for expanding or replacing existing capacity was taking place. It would seem that Von Tunzelmann has probably overestimated the contribution of the “within” effect to the average improvement in performance.

Additionally, it is also clear that, given the initial composition of the engine park where the bulk of engines had cylinder size of 60”-69” (see again table 2), the installation of new engines was necessary to reallocate productive capacity towards engines of larger size which were able to score higher duties. This strong contribution of the entry effect is also a factor accounting for the relative wide dispersion of engine performances shown in figure 2.

The “between” and “cross” effects, through out all the period 1814-1870, appear to affect duty growth in a relatively minor way, as expected. This can be accounted for by the existence of “rigidities”, i.e., there was a rather narrow scope for adjusting the horsepower delivered by the engine, once this was installed.

As shown in figure 1, the period 1844-1874 is a phase of relative stagnation in the historical evolution of the duty. Again, the major contributing factors to (negative) duty growth are the “within engine” effect, which shows a deterioration of installed capacity (this may well be a consequence both of the decline in the quality of coal used and of a diminished rate of expansion) and the “entry” effect. Note that since the entry effect is taken in deviation from the mean, this indicates that new engines tended to have *lower* duty than the average of the installed capacity.

To sum up, our analysis suggests that the precocious development and refinement of the high-pressure engine in Cornwall, was the outcome of a process of technological learning taking place in a very favourable context. Our results show that the main driver of technical progress in Cornish steam engineering was a powerful wave of “innovative investment” which took place throughout the first half of the nineteenth century, following the sustained expansion of the Cornish mining industry.³¹ In the Cornish context, the expansion of productive capacity was coupled with an experimentation with design modifications and with the discovery of many improvements related with the use of high-pressure expansive steam for pumping applications, resulting in an effective exploration and extension of the technological frontier. Furthermore, in the Cornish context, the diffusion of these innovations was enhanced by an institutional set-up that stimulated the rapid

³⁰ As noted above, our estimation of the “entry” effect can contain some upward bias to the detriment of the “within” effect. However, for each of the three periods 1814-1824, 1824-1834, 1834-1844, the difference between the magnitudes of the two effects is so large that we can conclude that, notwithstanding some possible overestimation, our decomposition is probably correct in determining the relative contribution of the two effects.

³¹ See Barton, *A History of Copper Mining*, pp. 45-74.

dissemination of technological knowledge and that had no counterpart in the rest of Britain.³² Finally, the accumulation of technological knowledge was further reinforced by “disembodied” processes of learning by doing and by using (accounted for by the within effect of our decomposition) that allowed some improvement of installed capacity.

III

In retrospect, it is not surprising that competent contemporary observers paid great attention to technological developments in Cornwall as portrayed in the engine reports. A large body of the contemporary engineering literature on steam technology was precisely informed by the debate on the different choice of technique characterizing the use of steam power in Cornwall (where the high-pressure expansive engine was adopted) versus the rest of Britain, especially the manufacturing districts of the North, where the favourite option remained the Watt low-pressure engine.

The superior fuel efficiency of the Cornish practice led some contemporary observers to describe this situation as a case of “entrepreneurial failure”, with the rest of country unduly hesitant in their transition to the high-pressure expansive engine. For example, William Fairbairn, an authoritative member of the Lancashire engineering community, and one of the leading advocates of the merits of the high-pressure expansive engine whose pleadings remained for a long period unfulfilled, wrote in 1849:

For a great number of years a strong prejudice existed against the use of high-pressure steam and it required more than ordinary care in effecting the changes which have been introduced: it had to be done cautiously, almost insidiously, before it could be introduced. The author of this paper believes he was amongst the first in the Manufacturing Districts who pointed out the advantages of high-pressure steam when worked expansively, and for many years he had to contend with the fears and prejudices of the manufacturers.³³

Similarly, John Farey vigorously denounced a widespread and culpable “state of apathy as to consumption of fuel” in the “great manufacturing districts of the North”.³⁴

According to James Nasmyth, the inventor of the steam hammer, the actual beginnings of the adoption of high pressure with expansion in Lancashire could be reasonably dated in the late 1840s when “timid and prejudiced traditions” had been finally dissipated. In a letter of 1852 cited by Factory Inspector Leonard Horner, Nasmyth wrote:

The engine power of this district [Lancashire] lay under the incubus of timid and prejudiced traditions for nearly forty years, but now we are happily emancipated. During the last fifteen years, but more especially in the course of the last four years [since 1848] some very important changes have taken place in the system of working condensing steam engines....The result has been to realize a much greater amount of duty or work performed by identical engines, and that again at a very considerable reduction of the expenditure of fuel....³⁵

³² In several instances, there were proposals of introducing similar systems of reporting for steam engines at work in textile areas, but nothing followed, see Hills, *Power*, p. 131.

³³ Fairbairn, “On the expansive action”, pp. 23-24.

³⁴ Farey, *Treatise*, vol II, p. 307.

³⁵ P.P. 1852, XL, p. 484.

These passages suggest that, despite numerous solicitations, many engineers and practitioners had remained extremely skeptical, at least till the late 1830s, about the fuel advantages of using high-pressure steam expansively. Since the superior fuel efficiency of the high-pressure expansive engine had remained theoretically mysterious, the dramatic early rise of the duty of the (best-practice) Cornish expansive engines (in the 1810s up to more than 40 millions and by the late 1820s to more than 80 millions) was not easily accepted outside Cornwall. In fact, several doubts were voiced on the actual levels of efficiency achieved by Cornish engines, actually denying the existence of a Cornish technological lead. In 1838, G. H. Palmer published an article in the authoritative *Transactions of the Institutions of Civil Engineers*, in which he contended that the levels of fuel efficiency claimed for the Cornish engine were undoubtedly exaggerated (because in open contrast with the caloric theory of heat):³⁶

If the statements given to the public by the Cornish engineers, whose sincerity I cannot doubt are correct, I dare not trust to call nature to account for the undue favouritism she confers upon our Cornish friends by enabling them to perform results that the London, Manchester and Birmingham engineers cannot approach.....Upon what principle then, permit me to ask, can the Cornish engines perform so much more than all other engines. Strong, indeed, should be the evidence that ought to outweigh or cancel the....laws of nature, and induce this Institution to sanction statements of duty more than double of the best Watt engine, and still more, surpassing the limits Nature has assigned steam to perform.³⁷

The most strenuous defender of Lancashire technical practice was perhaps Robert Armstrong. In his *Essay on the Boilers of Steam Engines* published in 1839, he declared that the Cornish duty figures were undoubtedly “gross exaggerations”, the real duty probably being equal to about 30 millions. He concluded that “there is nothing in their [Cornish] system of management that can be profitably imitated here [Lancashire]”.³⁸

Alternative explanations for the different technical choice have also been put forward. It is frequently held that concerns about safety delayed the adoption of the high-pressure engine. According to this view, the reluctance to shift to the high-pressure engine was a manifestation of different propensities towards risk between Cornwall and other areas of Britain (with Cornish engineers more inclined to bear the risks of boiler explosions). In fact, the available data on the number of boiler explosions indicate that in Cornwall high-pressure steam was employed very safely throughout the first half of the nineteenth century.³⁹ On the other hand, the evidence also suggests that, when it occurred, the transition to high-pressure expansive steam in the rest of Britain did take place *notwithstanding* the increased risks of explosions. In fact, in the 1840s, in Lancashire it was not infrequent to increase steam pressure by placing bricks on safety valves, with few concerns for the increased risks of explosions.⁴⁰ These considerations, in our judgment, circumscribe the possible

³⁶ In the same article Palmer, on the basis of the caloric theory of heat, fixed the maximum duty attainable by a steam engine to 44 millions (Palmer, 1838, p. 46)

³⁷ Palmer, “On the application of steam”, pp. 44-46.

³⁸ Armstrong, *Essay*, p. 76.

³⁹ See Marten, *On Boiler Explosions*. Most apprehensions over boiler explosions in the first half of the nineteenth century were related to steam-boats engines. See Hills, *Power*, pp. 144-147 and Hunter, *History*, pp. 648-652. Public concerns over the safety of steam boilers in industrial applications will actually emerge only from second half of the 1840s, concomitantly with the transition to high pressure steam in manufacturing districts. See in particular the statements of William Fairbairn in front of the Select Committee of Parliament on “Steam Boiler Explosions”, P.P., 1870, X, pp. 467-468.

⁴⁰ Bartrip, “The State and the steam boiler”, p.80.

role that fears of boiler explosions might have played in delaying the adoption of high-pressure steam outside Cornwall.⁴¹

Another possible explanation is that the different technical choice in Cornwall compared with the rest of Britain reflected factor prices prevailing in each location (in particular the price of coal). This interpretation turns the argument of “entrepreneurial failure” on its head.

Figure 5 about here

Figure 5 displays series of coal prices in various locations for the period 1800-1850. In this time span, the price of coal in Cornwall appears to have been higher than those prevailing in Lancashire (Manchester), Yorkshire (Leeds) and in the Midlands (Birmingham). The price of coal in London, instead, was higher than in Cornwall. We do not have systematic information on the rental cost of capital. Some evidence suggests that interest rates were lower in the South West than in the North, providing further incentive to substitute capital for coal, but it is very difficult to formulate an estimate of the differential.⁴² Here we will mostly focus on differences in coal prices, which are likely the main factor affecting the choice of technique.

Following this line of inquiry, it is possible to examine in some detail two cases of adoption of the high-pressure engines in the late 1830s and early 1840s and carry out some simple profitability assessments. In the case of the purchase of a new engine, an entrepreneur will be indifferent between a high-pressure and low-pressure engine when

$$(3) \quad K_H(i + d) + C_H \cdot H \cdot p_c = K_L(i + d) + C_L \cdot H \cdot p_c$$

In the formula, K_H represents the capital costs per HP for the high-pressure engine, i is the annual interest rate, d is the depreciation rate, C_H is the consumption of coal per HP-hour for the high-pressure engine, H is the amount of working hours in the year, p_c is the price of coal, K_L is the capital cost per HP for the low-pressure engine and C_L is the coal consumption per HP-hour of the low-pressure engines.⁴³ The formula can be used to calculate the “threshold” coal price for the technical choice between the two types of engines, p_c .⁴⁴

$$(4) \quad p_c = \frac{(K_H - K_L)(i + d)}{(C_L - C_H)H}$$

⁴¹ See also von Tunzelmann, *Steam power*, pp. 88-89. Hunter, *Steam Power*, p. 649 notices that “[i]n the discussions of the Cornish pumping engine that led to their introduction in the London area in the 1830s there was virtually no references to the hazard of high pressure used.”

⁴² Von Tunzelmann, *Steam power*, p. 85.

⁴³ Clearly, the formula assumes that labour costs per HP and maintenance costs are the same for the two types of engine. See Von Tunzelmann, *Steam power*, pp. 79-91 and also Halsey, “Choice”. The profitability assessments consider only the cost of engines and boilers and do not include engine house and foundations. These omitted costs are not likely to introduce major biases in the results, see again Von Tunzelmann, pp. 57-59.

⁴⁴ For a discussion of the threshold approach to the adoption of new technologies, see David, *Technical Choice*, ch. 4, 5. For two earlier applications to the study of steam power technology, see Von Tunzelmann, *Steam power*, ch. 4 and Halsey, “Choice”.

In other words, if the price of coal is equal to p_c an entrepreneur will be indifferent between a high-pressure and low-pressure engine. If the price of coal is higher than p_c , it will be economic to adopt the high-pressure engine, vice versa if the price is lower, the low-pressure engine represents the optimal choice.

In case there is an already installed low-pressure engine, an entrepreneur will be indifferent between installing a new high-pressure one and keeping the old one when

$$(5) \quad K_H(i + d) + C_H \cdot H \cdot p_c = C_L \cdot H \cdot p_c.$$

In this case the threshold coal price is given by

$$(6) \quad p_c = \frac{K_H(i + d)}{(C_L - C_H)H}$$

We will examine first the profitability of adopting a high-pressure expansive engine for pumping applications (the user context most similar to the Cornish case). One of the first high-pressure expansive pumping engines installed outside Cornwall was erected at the East London Waterworks as late as 1838. The installation was preceded by a travel of Thomas Wicksteed to Cornwall where he conducted a detailed research on the merits of the Cornish engine.⁴⁵ Although Wicksteed heartily encouraged the adoption of Cornish engines, the management of the waterworks remained reluctant. Finally, in 1838 a second-hand Cornish engine was purchased for £ 7600 under the condition that it would perform a duty of 90 millions over twelve consecutive months, otherwise a penalty had to be paid.⁴⁶ Once the engine was installed, Wicksteed made a systematic comparison of the performance of the new Cornish engine with that of a Boulton and Watt engine.⁴⁷ In table 4 we assess the profitability of adopting high-pressure expansive engines for pumping applications in the late 1830s using Wicksteed’s data. As it is hard to estimate a typical number of working hours for engines employed in water-works, we have computed the threshold coal price for a reasonable range of possible values.⁴⁸ The upshot of the results of table 4 is rather striking (although probably not fully surprising for a reader acquainted with the contemporary engineering discussions). At the high price of coal (around 20 s.) prevailing in London, it would have been worthwhile to scrap all the installed low-pressure engines replacing them with new high-pressure engines.

Table 4 around here

As we have already mentioned, some teething technical problems hampered the adoption of high-pressure steam expansively in engines employed to power machinery. The Cornish practice of

⁴⁵ Wicksteed, “On the effective power” and *Experimental inquiry*.

⁴⁶ Barton, *Cornish Engine*, p. 258.

⁴⁷ Wicksteed, *Experimental Inquiry*.

⁴⁸ Von Tunzelmann, *Steam Power*, p. 73, . In several cases steam engines in water-works were worked around the clock for long periods, thus the most plausible estimates are those on the high side. For example, the Cornish engine of East London Waterworks for the first eighteenth months worked 24 hours per day with only occasional stoppages, see *Civil Engineer and Architect Journal*, February 1840, p. 66.

expansive operation could not be easily transferred to mill operations, where the application of the steam engine to industrial processes generally required a smooth and regular piston movement.⁴⁹ Some of the problems created by the irregular power cycle could be solved by expanding the steam in two separate cylinders, reviving in this way, the Woolf double cylinder compound design, which had not been crowned with much success in Cornwall. This however involved some loss of fuel efficiency. We can safely assume that this technical solution was feasible because the Woolf compound expansive engine had become the favourite technical choice in France in many industrial applications from the 1820s.⁵⁰

Von Tunzelmann has calculated the “threshold” coal price at which, for rotative applications around 1835, it would have been economically worthwhile to install a new high-pressure expansive engine, instead of a low-pressure one, as 12 s. per ton.⁵¹ This result, according to Von Tunzelmann, goes some way in the direction of rehabilitating Lancashire entrepreneurs from the allegations of entrepreneurial failure to which contemporaries, such as Farey, had condemned them.

We can provide a new calculation of this threshold coal price in manufacturing applications in 1841 using a list of prices for the engines produced by Benjamin Hick. Hick was one of the pioneers of the introduction of compound high-pressure expansive engine on the Woolf plan in the textile industries and his engines are probably to be considered as best-practice for the time.⁵²

In Table 5 we report Hick’s prices and estimates of coal consumption and our calculation of the threshold coal prices.⁵³ When installing a new engine, for the most common sizes in this period (i.e., 40 and 50 HP)⁵⁴, it would have been economically justified to adopt high-pressure expansive engines even in locations with a low coal price. The calculated threshold coal price for engines of 30, 40 and 50 HP is equal to slightly more than 1 s. a ton, which is even lower than the cost of “slack” coal at the colliery pithead.⁵⁵ When a low-pressure engine was already installed, results are less clear-cut and possibly consistent with maintaining the low-pressure engine as favourite technical choice if coal prices were lower than 7 s. Overall, our results indicate a greater cost effectiveness of the high-pressure expansive engine than originally estimated by Von Tunzelmann.⁵⁶

⁴⁹ Parkes, “On steam boilers”, p. 67.

⁵⁰ The compound design was imported in France by Woolf’s former partner Edwards and it became very popular in industrial applications in the period 1815-1850, see Payen, “La technologie”

⁵¹ Von Tunzelmann, *Steam power*, p. 91.

⁵² A glowing appraisal of Hick’s compound engines was given in Farey, *Treatise*, vol II, p. 306.

⁵³ Hick’s estimates of coal consumption are consistent with those reported in other sources. Zachariah Allen estimated the average fuel consumption of the steam engines installed in Manchester in 1831 as 13 lbs. About ten years later in 1842, Fairbairn considered this to be about 10.5 lbs, see Hunter, *History*, p. 600. In the same year Josiah Parkes (Parkes, “On steam boilers”) estimated average coal consumption in industrial applications at 15 lbs.

⁵⁴ See, for example, Hills, *Power*, p. 116.

⁵⁵ Von Tunzelmann, *Some economic aspects*, p. 63 gives a price of 2s. 8d. for slack coal for a Staffordshire colliery in the period 1828-36.

⁵⁶ Our calculation suggests that threshold price computed by von Tunzelmann for 1835 is overrated. The source of this over-estimation is in the estimated increase in capital costs resulting from the adoption of the Cornish high pressure boiler, which von Tunzelmann assumes to increase in direct proportion with heating surface (this amounts to multiply the price of the “corresponding” low-pressure boiler by 7.5). Thus, for a 30 HP engine, he puts total boiler cost at £1500. Casual evidence shows that this errs far too much on the high side. In 1838 *three* boilers for a 60’ engine for the Fresnillo Mine in Mexico were sold for £ 963 (Barton, *Cornish Engine*, p. 280). In 1841, James Sims offered, in an advertisement published on the *West Briton*, a 80’ pumping engine for £2600, *inclusive of boilers* (Barton, *Cornish Engine*, p. 52). These figures are broadly consistent with the prices of table 3. In this respect, one has to take into account

Figure 6 about here

In figure 6 we generalize our findings by plotting the threshold function (4) for both pumping and rotative engines in the space of factor prices. The horizontal axis is expressed in terms of rental cost of capital ($i + d$), so that the threshold function is represented by a line through the origin. For factor price combinations above the line, the high-pressure expansive engine is the optimal choice, while below the line the low-pressure option is better. The threshold functions are calculated using data that can be considered as representative for "best practice" engines around 1840. Interestingly enough, the threshold line for rotative lies below that for pumping engines. This is because, as also indicated by Wicksteed's data in table 4, the differential in coal consumption between high-pressure and low-pressure engines was lower in pumping than in rotative engines.⁵⁷ We can probably consider a rental cost of capital ranging between 8% and 20% as a suitable approximation for most of the areas in question.⁵⁸ Figure 6 suggests that, when installing a new pumping engine, given the savings in coal costs, in locations with high coal prices such as London and the South East, it would have been clearly economically advantageous to adopt high pressure.⁵⁹ This result fully vindicates the allegations of technological conservatism voiced in the contemporary engineering literature discussed above, *as far as pumping applications are concerned*. In this sense, the slow diffusion of Cornish practices in pumping applications in areas with high coal prices may be said to reflect entrepreneurial failures or information delays.⁶⁰ When looking for possible causes of this outcome, two considerations are in order. First, the engineering reputation of Boulton and Watt was particularly strong among water-works engineers (especially in London) and this can account for skepticism towards Cornish achievements.⁶¹ Second, water supply by statutory companies was a peculiar economic environment in which competitive pressures were not particularly strong and this may have led to some complacency in the adoption of new technologies.⁶²

For rotative engines the results are less straightforward. Figure 6 suggests that in the early 1840s, many industrial areas were located just above the relevant threshold line. This may indicate some

that in low coal price regions, steam engine manufacturers like Hick, generally avoided to construct the full-size Cornish boiler, opting for a "shortened" and cheaper version of the elongated Cornish cylindrical boiler, see von Tunzelmann, *Steam power* pp.83-84.

⁵⁷ In the first half of the nineteenth century, Watt pumping engines did actually make use of some expansion of steam in the cylinder. However the use of low-pressure steam did not allow a full exploitation of the expansive operation. Von Tunzelmann, *Steam power*, p. 76.

⁵⁸ Annual interest rates were likely to range between 5% and 10%. For depreciation a range of variation between 3% and 12% is probably adequate, see Kanefsky, *Diffusion*, pp. 169-170. See also Jenkins, "Wool textile industry", pp. 135-136. Fairbairn, *Treatise*, pp. 92-93 uses a total rental cost of capital of 10% (covering "interest of capital, repairs and renewals") when discussing the profitability of a 100 HP steam engine for cotton mills.

⁵⁹ In 1840 William Fairbairn published a paper (Fairbairn, "On the economy") advocating the adoption of the Cornish engine to drain *collieries* in the North East.

⁶⁰ In other mining areas such as North Wales and Derbyshire, where coal prices were more moderate than in London, Cornish engines, by virtue of the recommendations of John Taylor and other Cornish engineers, had begun to be installed in the late 1820s (North Wales, see Howard, *Mr Lean*, pp. 67-72 and in the late 1830s (Derbyshire, see Kirkham, "Steam engines").

⁶¹ Boulton and Watt's reputation was linked to the successful installation of a number of large pumping engines in several London waterworks companies at the beginning of the nineteenth century. See Dickinson, *Water Supply*. This had permitted to the company to establish a number of strong connections with influential members of water-works companies.

⁶² Dickinson, *Water Supply*.

delay in realizing the cost effectiveness of the high-pressure expansive design, but clearly its significance is relatively minor when compared with the case of pumping engines in high coal prices areas. Thus, notwithstanding our revision of the profitability assessment, we tend to agree with Von Tunzelmann that the hesitancy in taking up the high-pressure expansive engine in factories was actually grounded in some sound economic and technological considerations.

In fact, from the late 1830s, manufacturing areas had actually begun to install high-pressure expansive engines.⁶³ These cases of early adoption did not amount to a slavish imitation of the Cornish practice. Lancashire engineers tried to “acclimatize” the high-pressure engine to local circumstances and to strike a balance between gains in fuel efficiency and the higher capital costs involved in the use of high pressure. Accordingly, the shift to high pressures was coupled with the introduction of a number of adaptations/modifications, such as the “compounding” of existing low-pressure engines with the addition of a high-pressure cylinder (this practice was known as “McNaughting”), the employment of smaller versions of tubular boilers, etc.⁶⁴

IV

In our interpretation, the discussion of the previous sections suggests that, in British steam engineering, technical progress was to a major degree *localized* and *path-dependent, taking place around specific designs and with minimal diffusion across applications*.⁶⁵ The analysis of this peculiar “topography” of technical change (which is at odds with a smooth shift of *all* points of a unit isoquant assumed by the traditional neoclassical view of technical change) can be further articulated using Dosi’s paradigm/trajectory approach.⁶⁶ Dosi defines a technological paradigm as a “‘model’ and a ‘pattern’ of solution of *selected* technological problems, based on *selected* principles derived from natural sciences and on *selected* material technologies”.⁶⁷ The term paradigm is borrowed from Thomas Kuhn’s philosophy of science and indicates a cognitive framework, jointly adhered by a significant group of innovators, guiding the search for technical advances in a particular historical context. A technological paradigm defines the boundaries of the domain in which future technological developments will take place. Dosi suggests that it should be possible to “deconstruct” each technological paradigm into a set of particular engineering “heuristics”. These represent the accepted rules prescribing the procedures adopted in the search for innovations (i.e., “in order to develop a more efficient engine, try to increase the rate of expansion”). In Dosi’s view, technological heuristics are the product of the combination of what might be termed the “autonomous drift” of a technology (i.e., the “compulsive sequences” of technical challenges and solutions individuated by Rosenberg, which are typically insensitive to market signals⁶⁸) with “inducement factors” of a genuinely economic type (e.g., current and expected factor prices).

The heuristic search process practised by the inventors’ community, by channeling inventive activities in specific and finalised directions, generates relatively ordered paths of improvement,

⁶³ Fairbairn, *Treatise*, pp. 247-257.

⁶⁴ See again the letter of James Nasmyth in P.P. 1852, XL, p. 488.

⁶⁵ In this context, “local” means that the development of new technologies will take place in the proximity of the factor combinations presently in use. For a theoretical discussion, see Atkinson and Stiglitz, “A new view”.

⁶⁶ Dosi, “Technological paradigms”.

⁶⁷ Dosi, “Technological paradigms”, p. 152, italics in the text.

⁶⁸ Rosenberg, *Perspectives*, pp. 108-126.

called “technological trajectories”.⁶⁹ Improvements along a trajectory are strongly cumulative, in the sense that they are tightly related with previous attainments. In this way, technical progress ought to be conceived as an inherently path-dependent process in which specific innovations are to be understood in terms of the state of the art that preceded them.

The application of this interpretative framework to the case of British steam engineering seems relatively straightforward and consistent with the evidence we have discussed above. The Cornish context of high coal prices confronted steam engineers with a very specific and clear-cut technical problem, that is, how to raise the maximum quantity of water consuming the minimum amount of coal. In this context, the performances of the engines designed by Trevithick and Woolf in the early 1810s were rightly perceived as the opportunity of embarking a more steeply inclined trajectory of improvement, resulting in the development of a pumping high-pressure steam engine particularly fit for mining operations. Thus, Cornish engineers, induced by local circumstances, set out to explore the trajectory that we have outlined in Section I above.

In a wider perspective, we should recognize that these developments represented an exception by comparison with the trends of evolution characterizing the “mainstream trajectory” of British steam engineering. In industrial applications the search for inventions was guided by different concerns. Engineers were trying to maximize the amount and quality (in terms of smoothness and reliability) of the power delivered by the engine. In the first half of the nineteenth century, this led to a focus of inventive activities on valves, control systems, transmission, and on a general improvement of manufacturing methods. Over time, there was also some attention to increasing pressure and stronger boilers, but importantly, this was related to attempts of increasing the power of engines of a given size, rather than for reason of fuel efficiency.⁷⁰

For example, Richard Hills has shown that the early adoption of high-pressure expansive steam and compounding in the textile industries in the early 1840s had more to do with the superior quality of motion delivered by the compounds (relative to low-pressure single cylinder engines) than to their improved fuel efficiency.⁷¹ In this context, the squeeze on profits during the 1840s (originating from various sources, including the Factory Act of 1847 with the limitation of factory work to ten hours per day), spurred the shift to the high-pressure trajectory.⁷²

The technological history of the steam engine, therefore, suggests that, until the 1840s, the Cornish paradigm of using high pressure expansively had been unable to replace the low-pressure paradigm in application where some fruitful use of the expansion action could be made. During the 1830s, high-pressure direct acting horizontal engines were increasingly employed in several industrial applications by virtue of their compactness and high-pressure engines were the dominant design for railways, but there was no systematic adoption of the practice of using high-pressure steam expansively. This entrenchment of the low-pressure paradigm can account for some of the skepticism with which information on the superior efficiency of the high-pressure expansive engine

⁶⁹ The evolution of duty charted in figure 1 represents a technological trajectory in the sense defined by Dosi.

⁷⁰ See Hills, *Power*, pp. 70-94.

⁷¹ Hills, *Power*, pp. 158-159.

⁷² See von Tunzelmann, *Steam*, pp. 209-225.

was received outside Cornwall.⁷³ In each application, the search for innovations was guided by very specific and idiosyncratic engineering heuristics, leading to the emergence of distinct trajectories of improvement with little cross-fertilization. Accordingly, the adoption of high-pressure steam expansively was the outcome of semi-autonomous innovative processes taking place within each sector in different moments, rather than emerging from diffusion and spillovers between applications. An upshot of these considerations is that the issue of "entrepreneurial failure" is especially relevant when comparing similar type of applications (eg, pumping) and less so when considering the spread of innovations across contexts where technologies had to satisfy different requirements.

Interestingly enough, the adoption of high pressure expansively for marine engines (another domain which until the late 1840s had remained dominated by low pressure) followed yet another independent route. John Elder (who was a close associate of Rankine) was probably one of the first engineers to realize the advantages of compounding and of using high pressure expansively from a fully scientific point of view.⁷⁴ From the 1850s, in marine engineering, Elder's compound expansive design became the norm and quite soon the average engine would expand the steam in three steps using as many cylinders.⁷⁵

V

One of the features of the economic history of the steam engine that has attracted the attention of historians is the prolonged resilience of the low-pressure design in the first half of the nineteenth century. The evidence presented in this paper indicates that it is likely that the initial choice between low-pressure and high-pressure expansive designs was dictated by the economic conditions prevailing at different locations. Thereafter, due to a lack of scientific guidance, the search for improvements in each location proceeded "empirically" and semi-autonomously on the basis of particular sets of engineering heuristics geared to specific sectoral requirements.⁷⁶ In the Cornish context, a peculiar institutional set-up and the expansion of the mining industry promoted the creation and dissemination of a body of practical knowledge that led to the early development of high-pressure expansive engines. However, notwithstanding the fact that these remarkable Cornish achievements had been popularized, the diffusion of these new technological practices remained minimal. In this paper we have argued that the localized and path-dependent nature of the processes of technological learning taking place in the various sectors constrained the "transferability" of the high-pressure expansive practice across applications. The ultimate outcome was a pattern of technical change characterized by *uneven* rates of technological advance across the various applications of steam power. As hinted by Crafts, it is this peculiar pattern of technical change that

⁷³ Another factor, pointed out among others by Richard Hills, for accounting for the hesitancy in developing the high pressure expansive design for industrial applications was the influence of James Watt's authority, which had sanctioned the low-pressure engine as the optimum, see Hills, "Development". This helps to explain the strong "legitimacy" of the low pressure "paradigm".

⁷⁴ Smith, *Science*, pp. 151-155.

⁷⁵ Griffiths, *Steam*, p. 46. On the critical role of these improvements in marine engines for the transition from sailing ships and steamships, see Harley "Shift from sailing ships".

⁷⁶ See Cardwell and Hills, "Thermodynamics"

we should consider in order to understand the restricted impact of steam power on productivity growth throughout the first half of the nineteenth century.⁷⁷

⁷⁷ Crafts, "Steam".

Footnote References

- Allen, R. C., 'Collective Invention', *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 4 (1983), pp. 1-24.
- Armstrong, R., *An Essay on the Boilers of Steam Engines* (1839).
- Atkinson, A. B. and Stiglitz, J. E., 'A New View of Technological Change', *Economic Journal*, 79 (1969), pp. 573-578.
- Bartelsman, E. J. and Doms, M., 'Understanding Productivity: Lessons from Longitudinal Microdata', *Journal of Economic Literature*, 38 (2000), pp. 569-594.
- Barton, D. B., *A History of Copper Mining in Cornwall and in Devon* (Truro,1961).
- Barton, D.B., *The Cornish Beam Engine* (Truro,1965).
- Bartrip, P. W. J., 'The State and the Steam Boiler in Nineteenth Century Britain', *International Review of Social History*, 25 (1980), pp. 77-105.
- Burt, R., *A Short History of British Metal Mining Technology in the Eighteenth and Nineteenth Centuries* (Lelielaan,1982).
- Cantrell, J., 'Review of Mr. Lean and the Engine Reporters', *British Journal for the History of Science*, 37 (2004), p. 353.
- Cardwell, D. S. L. and Hills, R. L., 'Thermodynamics and practical engineering in the nineteenth century', *History of Technology*, 1 (1976), pp. 1-20.
- Crafts, N. F. R., 'Steam as a General Purpose Technology: A Growth Accounting Perspective', *Economic Journal*, 114 (2004), pp. 338-351.
- David, P. A. (1975), *Technical Choice, Innovation and Economic Growth. Essays on American and British Experience in the Nineteenth Century*, (Cambridge,1975).
- Dickinson, H. W. and Jenkins, R., *James Watt and the Steam Engine* (Oxford,1927).
- Dickinson, H. W., *Water Supply of Greater London* (Leamington, 1954).
- Dosi, G., 'Technological Paradigms and Technological Trajectories: a Suggested Interpretation of the Determinants and Directions of Technical Change', *Research Policy*, 11 (1982), pp. 147-162.
- Enys, J. S., 'Remarks on the Duty of the Steam Engines employed in the Mines of Cornwall at Different Periods', *Transactions of the Institution of Civil Engineers*, 3 (1842), pp. 449-466.
- Fairbairn, W., 'On the Economy of Raising Water from Coal Mines on the Cornish Principle', *Transactions of the Manchester Geological Society*, 1 (1840), pp. 179-193.
- Fairbairn, W., 'On the Expansive Action of Steam, and a New Construction of Expansion Valves for Condensing Steam Engines', *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers*, 1 (1847-9), pp. 21-31.
- Fairbairn, W., *Treatise on Mills and Millwork* (2nd ed.,1864)
- Farey, J., *A Treatise on the Steam Engine, Historical, Practical and Descriptive* (1827, reprint Newton Abbot ,1971).
- Farey, J., *A Treatise on the Steam Engine, Historical, Practical and Descriptive. Volume II* (Newton Abbot,1971).
- Gilbert, D., 'On the Progressive Improvements Made in the Efficiency of Steam Engines in Cornwall, with Investigations of the Methods Best Adapted for Imparting Great Angular Velocities', *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, 120 (1830), pp. 121-132.
- Griffiths, D., *Steam at Sea* (1997).
- Halsey, H. I., 'The Choice between High Pressure and Low Pressure in America in the Early Nineteenth Century', *Journal of Economic History*, 41 (1981), pp. 724-744.

Harley, C. K., 'The Shift from Sailing Ships to Steamships, 1850-1890: a Study of Technological Change and its Diffusion', in D. N. McCloskey (ed.), *Essays on a Mature Economy, Britain after 1840* (1971).

Hills, R. L., *Power from Steam. A History of the Stationary Steam Engine* (Cambridge,1989).

Hills, R. L., 'The development of the steam engine from Watt to Stephenson', *History of Technology*, 25 (2004), pp. 180-197.

Howard, B. (2002), *Mr. Lean and the Engine Reporters*, (Penryn,2002).

Hunter, L. C., *A History of Industrial Power in the United States, 1780-1930. Vol II: Steam Power* (Charlottesville,1985).

Jenkins, D. T., 'The wool textile industry 1780-1850', in C. H. Feinstein and S. Pollard, *Studies in Capital Formation in the United Kingdom*, (Oxford,1988).

Kanefsky, J. W., *The Diffusion of Power Technology in British Industry, 1760-1870* (University of Exeter, PhD Thesis, 1979).

Kirkham, N, "Steam engines in Derbyshire Lead Mines", *Transactions of the Newcomen Society*, 74 (1997), pp. 69-88.

Landes, D. S., *The Unbound Prometheus. Technological Change and Industrial Development in Western Europe from 1750 to the Present* (Cambridge,1969).

Lean (I), T. and brother, *Historical Statement of the Improvements made in the Duty Performed by the Steam Engines in Cornwall* (1839).

Lean (II), T., 'Comment on Richardson J. "On the application of portable engines for mining purposes"', *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers*, 18 (1873), pp. 200-201.

Marten, E. B., *On Boiler Explosions in Cornwall* (London, 1870).

Nuvolari, A., 'Collective Invention during the British Industrial Revolution: the Case of the Cornish Pumping Engine', *Cambridge Journal Economics*, 28 (2004), pp. 347-363.

Nuvolari, A. and Verspagen, B., '*Lean's Engine Reporter* and the development of the Cornish engine. A reappraisal', *Transactions of the Newcomen Society*, 77 (2007), pp.167-189.

Palmer, G. H, 'On the Application of Steam as a Moving Power, considered especially with reference to the Economy of Atmospheric and High Pressure Steam', *Transactions of the Institution of Civil Engineers*, 2 (1838), pp. 33-46.

Parkes, J., 'On Steam Boilers and Steam Engines', *Transactions of the Institution of Civil Engineers*, 3 (1842), pp.49-70.

Payen, J., 'La Technologie des Machines a Vapeur in France de 1800 a 1850' in *L Acquisition des Techniques par les Pays Non-Initiateurs* (Paris, 1973).

Pole, W., *A Treatise on the Cornish Pumping Engine* (1844).

Rosenberg, N., *Perspectives on Technology* (Cambridge,1976).

Rostow, W. W., *The Stages of Economic Growth. A Non-Communist Manifesto* (Cambridge,1960).

Rostow, W. W., *How It All Began. Origins of the Modern Economy* (1975).

Silverman, B. W., *Density Estimation for Statistics and Data Analysis* (London,1986).

Sims, J., 'On the Cornish Engine', *Transactions of the South Wales Institution of Engineers*, 2 (1860), pp. 172-180.

Smith, C., *The Science of Energy. A Cultural History of Energy Physics in Victorian Britain* (1998).

Trestrail, N, "The Duty of the Cornish Pumping Engines, Past and Present, and as Compared to Others", *Transactions of the Federated Institution of Mining Engineers*, 3 (1896), pp. 2-15.

Vincenti, W. G., *What Engineers Know and How They Know It. Analytical Studies from Aeronautical History* (Baltimore,1990).

Von Tunzelmann, G. N., 'Technological Diffusion during the Industrial Revolution: the Case of the Cornish Pumping Engine' in Hartwell, R. M. (ed.), *The Industrial Revolution* (Oxford,1970).

Von Tunzelmann, G. N., *Some Economic Aspects of the Diffusion of Steam Power in the British Isles to 1856, with special reference to the Textile Industries* (Oxford, PhD Thesis,1974).

Von Tunzelmann, G. N., *Steam Power and British Industrialization to 1860* (Oxford,1978).

Wicksteed, T., 'On the Effective Power of the High-Pressure Expansive Condensing Steam Engines commonly in Use in Cornish Mines', *Transactions of the Institution of Civil Engineers*, 1 (1836), pp. 117-130.

Wicksteed, T., *An Experimental Inquiry concerning Cornish and Boulton & Watt Engines* (1841).

Official publications

Reports of Inspectors of Factories to Secretary of State for Home Dept. , May-October 1852 (P.P., 1852-53, XL)

Select Committee on Causes of Steam Boiler Explosions (P.P., 1870, X).




Figure 1: Duty of Cornish pumping engines, 1769-1895

Sources: 1769, 1772, 1776, 1778, Lean, *Historical Statement*; 1779, 1786, 1792, Dickinson and Jenkins, *James Watt*; 1798, Gilbert, "On the progressive improvements"; 1811-1872, Lean II, "Comment"; 1811-1876 (April), *Lean's Engine Reporter*; 1873-1895, Trestrail, "The duty of Cornish pumping engines". In the figure duty is expressed in millions of foot-pounds per consumption of a bushel (94 lbs.) of coal.




Figure 2: Kernel density of duty (years on X axis, duty on Y axis, darker shades indicate a higher density)

Source: *Lean's Engine Reporter* (April)




Figure 3: Average duty of pumping engines of various sizes.

Source: Lean, *Historical Statement*, p. 140




Figure 4: Duty of Cornish rotative (whim and stamping) engines, 1811-1876.

Source: *Lean's Engine Reporter* (April). In the figure duty is expressed in millions of foot-pounds per consumption of a bushel (94 lbs.) of coal.




Figure 5: Coal prices, 1800-1850

Sources: for Manchester, Leeds, Birmingham and London: Von Tunzelmann, *Steam power*, p. 97; for Hayle: Von Tunzelmann, *Some economic aspects*, pp. 199-200.




Figure 6: Steam engine profitability in 1840

Notes: We have assumed 6000 hrs worked per year for the pumping and 3800 hrs for the rotative engine (see Von Tunzelmann, *Steam power*, p. 73). Concerning capital costs per HP (engine plus boiler) we have assumed £60 for the high-pressure pumping engine (see Kanefsky, *Diffusion*, pp. 159-161 and Wicksteed, *Experimental inquiry*), £ 45 for low-pressure pumping engine (see Von Tunzelmann, *Steam power*, p.54), £50 for the high-pressure rotative engine and £ 35 for the low pressure rotative engine (Von Tunzelmann, *Steam power*, pp. 84-87, Kanefsky, *Diffusion*, p. 158). Coal consumption is assumed to be 2.2 lbs per HP-hour for the high-pressure pumping, 6 lbs per HP-hour for the low-pressure pumping, 5 lbs per HP-hour for the high-pressure rotative and 12 lbs per HP-hour for the low-pressure rotative (Von Tunzelmann, *Steam power*, pp. 69-70, 76)

Table 1: Pitwork of the pumping engines reported

Year	Number of Engines	Pumping perpendicularly	(%)	Pumping perpendicularly, then diagonally	(%)	Pumping diagonally	(%)
1812	16	7	(43.75)	8	(50)	1	(6.25)
1822	51	32	(62.75)	18	(35.29)	1	(1.96)
1828	59	40	(67.8)	19	(32.2)	0	(0)
1834	62	44	(70.97)	17	(27.42)	1	(1.61)
1838	61	40	(65.57)	18	(29.51)	3	(4.92)
1840	62	35	(56.45)	24	(38.71)	3	(4.84)
1850	31	22	(70.97)	7	(22.58)	2	(6.45)
1855	22	11	(50)	10	(45.45)	1	(4.55)
1860	25	8	(32)	16	(64)	1	(4)
1868	24	7	(29.17)	16	(66.67)	1	(4.17)
1876	20	5	(25)	15	(75)	0	(0)

Source: *Lean's Engine Reporter* (April).

Table 2: Distribution of cylinder sizes of the pumping engines reported

Cylinder size (diameter in inches)	1811 (%)	1821 (%)	1831 (%)	1841 (%)	1851 (%)	1861 (%)	1871 (%)
20-29	0	4.65	0	1.72	0	0	0
30-39	8.33	11.63	14	15.52	25.81	17.86	0
40-49	25	16.28	12	10.34	3.23	10.71	9.09
50-59	16.67	20.93	12	8.62	6.45	10.71	4.55
60-69	50	34.88	30	18.97	12.90	25.00	13.64
70-79	0	6.98	14	15.52	22.58	21.43	40.91
80-89	0	0	8	24.14	22.58	10.71	27.27
90-99	0	4.65	10	5.17	6.45	3.57	4.55
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Number of engines	12	43	50	58	31	28	22

Source: *Lean's Engine Reporter* (April).

Table 3: Decomposition of duty growth in Cornish pumping engines, 1814-1874

Period	Within engine	Between engine	Cross engine	Entry	Exit	Overall growth
1814-24	0.046	-0.007	-0.012	0.401	-0.009	0.436
1824-34	0.153	-0.006	-0.042	0.641	-0.029	0.775
1834-44	-0.004	-0.001	0.003	0.104	-0.005	0.107
1844-54	-0.021	-0.021	-0.024	-0.125	0.016	-0.208
1854-64	-0.022	-0.010	0.012	-0.037	-0.013	-0.044
1864-74	-0.025	-0.010	-0.018	0.021	0.007	-0.040

Source: *Lean's Engine Reporter* (April).

Table 4: Profitability of a high pressure engine for pumping applications, 1839

	Cornish	Boulton & Watt	Coal price (s. per ton)	Coal price (s per ton.)
Duty (millions of foot-pounds)	90.809	40.049		
Coal Consumption (lbs per HP-hour)	2.05	4.65		
HP	135	71.50		
Total costs (£)	7600	(-)		
Capital costs per HP (£)	56.30	(45)		
Capital costs per HP per annum (£)	5.63	4.50		
Threshold coal price for replacing an already working engine (s. per ton)				
(4500 hrs.)			21.58	
(5000 hrs.)			19.42	
(5500 hrs.)			17.65	
(6000 hrs.)			16.18	
(6500 hrs.)			14.94	
Threshold coal price for a new engine (s. per ton)				
(4500 hrs.)				4.33
(5000 hrs.)				3.90
(5500 hrs.)				3.54
(6000 hrs.)				3.25
(6500 hrs.)				3.00

Sources: Duty, total costs and HP delivered are from Wicksteed, *Experimental Inquiry*. Coal consumption per HP-hour is calculated as 186.12/duty (see Pole, *Treatise*, p. 171); the hypothetical cost per HP of a Watt pumping engine is based on Von Tunzelmann, *Steam power*, p. 54; interest + depreciation rate set at 10% (see discussion in Kanefsky, *Diffusion*, pp. 167-170).

Table 5: Profitability of a compound high pressure engine for a textile mill, 1841

	Low pressure condensing engine			Woolf compound			Threshold coal price for a new engine (s per ton.)	Threshold coal price for replacing an existing engine (s per ton)
Coal consumption (lbs per HP hour)	14			5				
HP	Engine (£)	Boiler (£)	Capital costs per HP p. a. (£)	Engine (£)	Boiler (£)	Capital cost per HP p.a. (£)		
6	330	50	8.33	335	65	8.88	0.71	11.63
10	435	65	6.58	450	100	7.38	1.05	9.66
12	480	80	6.17	510	120	7.06	1.17	9.25
16	550	100	5.39	620	150	6.48	1.43	8.49
20	630	120	4.99	720	180	6.08	1.42	7.96
25	710	150	4.60	800	220	5.54	1.23	7.26
30	770	180	4.26	870	260	5.14	1.16	6.74
40	960	240	4.05	1130	320	4.93	1.15	6.46
50	1170	280	3.91	1350	400	4.78	1.14	6.25

Sources: coal consumption and data on engine and boiler costs are from Hills, *Power*, p. 119. In calculating capital costs p.a., following Von Tunzelmann, *Steam power*, p. 72, we have made these assumptions: depreciation rate set at 7.5% p.a. for the engine and at 12.5% p.a. for the boiler, interest rate set at 5%. For calculating the threshold coal price, we have assumed 3800 working hours per year, see, Von Tunzelmann, *Steam power*, p. 73.