# Information Theory

Cosma Shalizi

## <span id="page-0-0"></span>15 June 2010 Complex Systems Summer School

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ ① 할 → ① 의안

### Entropy and Information Measuring randomness and dependence in bits

## Entropy and Ergodicity Dynamical systems as information sources, long-run randomness

Information and Inference The connection to statistics

Cover and Thomas (1991) is the best single book on information theory.

**≮ロト ⊀ 何 ト ⊀ ヨ ト ⊀ ヨ ト** 

ă,

 $2Q$ 

#### **[Entropy](#page-2-0)**

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

÷.

<span id="page-2-0"></span> $2Q$ 



The most fundamental notion in information theory  $X = a$  discrete random variable, values from X The **entropy of** *X* is

$$
H[X] \equiv -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \Pr(X = x) \log_2 \Pr(X = x)
$$

#### [Entropy](#page-2-0)

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ トー

重。  $2Q$ 

### Proposition

## $H[X] \geq 0$ , and = 0 *only when*  $Pr(X = x) = 1$  *for some* x



[Entropy](#page-2-0)

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

 $(1 - 4)$   $(1 -$ 

重。  $2Q$ 

### Proposition

## $H[X] \geq 0$ , and = 0 *only when*  $Pr(X = x) = 1$  *for some* x *(*EXERCISE*)*



**[Entropy](#page-2-0)** 

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロト イ団 トイヨ トイヨ トー

÷.  $QQ$ 

### Proposition

 $H[X] \geq 0$ , and = 0 *only when*  $Pr(X = x) = 1$  *for some* x *(*EXERCISE*)*

### Proposition

*H*[*X*] *is maximal when all X are equally probable, and then*  $H[X] = \log_2 \# \mathcal{X}$ 



**[Entropy](#page-2-0)** 

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロト イ団 トイヨ トイヨ トー

÷.  $QQ$ 

### Proposition

 $H[X] \geq 0$ , and = 0 *only when*  $Pr(X = x) = 1$  *for some* x *(*EXERCISE*)*

## Proposition

*H*[*X*] *is maximal when all X are equally probable, and then*  $H[X] = \log_2 \# \mathcal{X}$  *(EXERCISE)* 

**[Entropy](#page-2-0)** 

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロメ 不優 トイヨメ イヨメー

÷.  $QQ$ 

### Proposition

 $H[X] \geq 0$ , and = 0 *only when*  $Pr(X = x) = 1$  *for some* x *(*EXERCISE*)*

## Proposition

*H*[*X*] *is maximal when all X are equally probable, and then*  $H[X] = \log_2 \# \mathcal{X}$  *(EXERCISE)* 

### **Proposition**

 $H[f(X)] \leq H[X]$ , equality if and only if f is 1-1

[Entropy and Ergodicity](#page-67-0) [Relative Entropy and Statistics](#page-86-0) [References](#page-137-0)

#### [Entropy](#page-2-0)

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロメ 不優 おす 老人 すきあい

ă.

 $299$ 

# Interpretations



[Entropy and Ergodicity](#page-67-0) [Relative Entropy and Statistics](#page-86-0) [References](#page-137-0)

### [Entropy](#page-2-0)

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

**K ロ ト K 何 ト K ヨ ト K ヨ ト** 

÷.

 $2990$ 

# **Interpretations**

## *H*[*X*] measures

how *random X* is



[Entropy and Ergodicity](#page-67-0) [Relative Entropy and Statistics](#page-86-0) [References](#page-137-0)

### [Entropy](#page-2-0)

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

÷.

 $2990$ 

# **Interpretations**

- how *random X* is
- How *variable X* is



[Entropy and Ergodicity](#page-67-0) [Relative Entropy and Statistics](#page-86-0) [References](#page-137-0)

### [Entropy](#page-2-0)

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

÷.

 $2Q$ 

# **Interpretations**

- how *random X* is
- How *variable X* is
- How *uncertain* we should be about *X*

[Entropy and Ergodicity](#page-67-0) [Relative Entropy and Statistics](#page-86-0) [References](#page-137-0)

### **[Entropy](#page-2-0)**

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

÷.

 $2Q$ 

# **Interpretations**

- how *random X* is
- How *variable X* is
- How *uncertain* we should be about *X* "paleface" problem

[Entropy and Ergodicity](#page-67-0) [Relative Entropy and Statistics](#page-86-0) [References](#page-137-0)

### **[Entropy](#page-2-0)**

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロメ イ押 メイヨメ イヨメ

B

 $2Q$ 

# **Interpretations**

# *H*[*X*] measures

- how *random X* is
- How *variable X* is

## How *uncertain* we should be about *X*

"paleface" problem

consistent resolution leads to a completely subjective probability theory

[Entropy and Ergodicity](#page-67-0) [Relative Entropy and Statistics](#page-86-0) [References](#page-137-0) **[Entropy](#page-2-0)** [Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

# **Interpretations**

# *H*[*X*] measures

- how *random X* is
- How *variable X* is

## How *uncertain* we should be about *X*

"paleface" problem

consistent resolution leads to a completely subjective probability theory

but the more fundamental interpretation is **description length**

**≮ロト ⊀ 何 ト ⊀ ヨ ト ⊀ ヨ ト** 

ă,  $QQ$ 

[Description Length](#page-20-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

÷.

<span id="page-15-0"></span> $2Q$ 

# Description Length

 $H[X] =$  how concisely can we describe X? Imagine *X* as text message:



[Description Length](#page-20-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

**K ロ ト K 何 ト K ヨ ト K ヨ ト** 

÷.

 $2Q$ 

# Description Length

 $H[X] =$  how concisely can we describe X? Imagine *X* as text message:

*in Reno*



[Description Length](#page-20-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

÷.

 $2Q$ 

# Description Length

 $H[X] =$  how concisely can we describe X? Imagine *X* as text message:

> *in Reno in Reno send money*



[Description Length](#page-20-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

B

 $2Q$ 

# Description Length

 $H[X] =$  how concisely can we describe X? Imagine *X* as text message:

> *in Reno in Reno send money in Reno divorce final*



[Description Length](#page-20-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

B

 $2Q$ 

# Description Length

 $H[X] =$  how concisely can we describe X? Imagine *X* as text message:

> *in Reno in Reno send money in Reno divorce final marry me?*



**[Entropy](#page-2-0)** 

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

K ロ ⊁ K 何 ≯ K ヨ ⊁ K ヨ ⊁

<span id="page-20-0"></span> $2Q$ 

# Description Length

 $H[X] =$  how concisely can we describe X? Imagine *X* as text message:

> *in Reno in Reno send money in Reno divorce final marry me? in Reno send lawyers guns and money kthxbai*

Known and finite number of possible messages ( $\#\mathcal{X}$ ) I know what *X* is but won't show it to you You can guess it by asking yes/no (binary) questions

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

 $(1 - 4)$   $(1 -$ 

÷.

 $299$ 

### First goal: ask as few questions as possible



[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

÷.

 $2Q$ 

First goal: ask as few questions as possible Starting with "is it  $y$ ?" is optimal iff  $X = y$ 



[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

 $4$  ロ }  $4$   $6$  }  $4$   $\pm$  }  $4$   $\pm$  }

÷.

 $2Q$ 

## First goal: ask as few questions as possible Starting with "is it  $y$ ?" is optimal iff  $X = y$ Can always achieve no worse than  $\approx$  log<sub>2</sub> #X questions

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

 $4$  ロ }  $4$   $6$  }  $4$   $\pm$  }  $4$   $\pm$  }

ă,

 $2Q$ 

First goal: ask as few questions as possible Starting with "is it  $y$ ?" is optimal iff  $X = y$ Can always achieve no worse than  $\approx$  log<sub>2</sub> #X questions New goal: minimize the *mean* number of questions



[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

ă,

 $2Q$ 

First goal: ask as few questions as possible Starting with "is it  $y$ ?" is optimal iff  $X = y$ Can always achieve no worse than  $\approx$  log<sub>2</sub> # $\chi$  questions New goal: minimize the *mean* number of questions Ask about more probable messages first

**[Entropy](#page-2-0)** 

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

ă,

 $2Q$ 

First goal: ask as few questions as possible Starting with "is it  $y$ ?" is optimal iff  $X = y$ Can always achieve no worse than  $\approx$  log<sub>2</sub>  $\#\mathcal{X}$  questions New goal: minimize the *mean* number of questions Ask about more probable messages first Still takes  $\approx -\log_2 \Pr(X = x)$  questions to reach *x* 

**[Entropy](#page-2-0)** 

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

ă.

 $2Q$ 

First goal: ask as few questions as possible Starting with "is it  $y$ ?" is optimal iff  $X = y$ Can always achieve no worse than  $\approx$  log<sub>2</sub>  $\#\mathcal{X}$  questions New goal: minimize the *mean* number of questions Ask about more probable messages first Still takes  $\approx -\log_2 \Pr(X = x)$  questions to reach *x* Mean is then *H*[*X*]

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロト イ団 トイヨ トイヨ トー

÷.

 $2Q$ 

### Theorem

*H*[*X*] *is the minimum mean number of binary distinctions needed to describe X*

## Units of *H*[*X*] are **bits**



[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-32-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロト イ団 トイヨ トイヨ トー

÷.

<span id="page-29-0"></span> $2Q$ 

# Multiple Variables — Joint Entropy

**Joint entropy** of two variables *X* and *Y*:

$$
H[X, Y] \equiv -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \Pr(X = x, Y = y) \log_2 \Pr(X = x, Y = y)
$$



[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-32-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロメ 不優 トイヨメ イヨメー

÷.

 $2Q$ 

# Multiple Variables — Joint Entropy

**Joint entropy** of two variables *X* and *Y*:

$$
H[X, Y] \equiv -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \Pr(X = x, Y = y) \log_2 \Pr(X = x, Y = y)
$$

Entropy of joint distribution



[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-32-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロメ イ押 メイヨメ イヨメ

B

 $QQ$ 

# Multiple Variables — Joint Entropy

**Joint entropy** of two variables *X* and *Y*:

$$
H[X, Y] \equiv -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \Pr(X = x, Y = y) \log_2 \Pr(X = x, Y = y)
$$

Entropy of joint distribution

This is the minimum mean length to describe both *X* and *Y*

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロメ イ押 メイヨメ イヨメ

B

<span id="page-32-0"></span> $QQ$ 

# Multiple Variables — Joint Entropy

**Joint entropy** of two variables *X* and *Y*:

$$
H[X, Y] \equiv -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \Pr(X = x, Y = y) \log_2 \Pr(X = x, Y = y)
$$

Entropy of joint distribution

This is the minimum mean length to describe both *X* and *Y*

$$
H[X, Y] \geq H[X]
$$
  
\n
$$
H[X, Y] \geq H[Y]
$$
  
\n
$$
H[X, Y] \leq H[X] + H[Y]
$$
  
\n
$$
H[f(X), X] = H[X]
$$

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

÷.

 $2Q$ 

# Conditional Entropy

Entropy of conditional distribution:

$$
H[X|Y=y] \equiv -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \Pr(X = x|Y = y) \log_2 \Pr(X = x|Y = y)
$$

Average over *y*:

$$
H[X|Y] \equiv \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \Pr(Y = y) H[X|Y = y]
$$

On average, how many bits are needed to describe *X*, *after Y* is given?

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

÷.

 $299$ 

$$
H[X|Y] = H[X, Y] - H[Y]
$$

"text completion" principle Note:  $H[X|Y] \neq H[Y|X]$ , in general



[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

÷.

 $2Q$ 

$$
H[X|Y] = H[X, Y] - H[Y]
$$

"text completion" principle Note:  $H[X|Y] \neq H[Y|X]$ , in general **Chain rule**:

$$
H[X_1^n] = H[X_1] + \sum_{t=1}^{n-1} H[X_{t+1} | X_1^t]
$$

Describe one variable, then describe 2nd with 1st, 3rd with first two, etc.
[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロメ イ押 メイヨメ イヨメ

G.

<span id="page-36-0"></span> $2Q$ 

# Mutual Information

Mutual information between *X* and *Y*

$$
I[X; Y] \equiv H[X] + H[Y] - H[X, Y]
$$

How much shorter is the *actual* joint description than the sum of the individual descriptions?

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロメ イ押 メイヨメ イヨメ

<span id="page-37-0"></span>ă.

 $2Q$ 

# Mutual Information

Mutual information between *X* and *Y*

$$
I[X; Y] \equiv H[X] + H[Y] - H[X, Y]
$$

How much shorter is the *actual* joint description than the sum of the individual descriptions? Equivalent:

$$
I[X; Y] = H[X] - H[X|Y] = H[Y] - H[Y|X]
$$

How much can I shorten my description of either variable by using the other?

**[Entropy](#page-2-0)** [Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

<span id="page-38-0"></span> $QQ$ 

# Mutual Information

Mutual information between *X* and *Y*

$$
I[X; Y] \equiv H[X] + H[Y] - H[X, Y]
$$

How much shorter is the *actual* joint description than the sum of the individual descriptions? Equivalent:

$$
I[X; Y] = H[X] - H[X|Y] = H[Y] - H[Y|X]
$$

How much can I shorten my description of either variable by using the other?

 $0 < I[X; Y] < \min H[X], H[Y]$ 

 $I[X; Y] = 0$  if and only if X and Y are stati[stic](#page-37-0)[all](#page-39-0)[y](#page-35-0) [i](#page-36-0)[n](#page-1-0)[d](#page-2-0)[e](#page-66-0)[p](#page-49-0)ende[nt](#page-0-0).

[Entropy and Information](#page-2-0) [Entropy and Ergodicity](#page-67-0)

[Relative Entropy and Statistics](#page-86-0) [References](#page-137-0) **[Description Length](#page-15-0)** [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

÷.

<span id="page-39-0"></span> $299$ 



How much can we learn about what was sent from what we receive? *I*[*X*; *Y*] イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ トー

#### [Entropy and Information](#page-2-0)

[Entropy and Ergodicity](#page-67-0) [Relative Entropy and Statistics](#page-86-0) [References](#page-137-0) [Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

メロトメ 御 トメ 差 トメ 差 トー

 $\equiv$  990



[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

G.

 $2Q$ 

Historically, this is the origin of information theory: sending coded messages efficiently (Shannon, 1948)



[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロメ イ押 メイヨメ イヨメ

G.

 $2Q$ 

### Historically, this is the origin of information theory: sending coded messages efficiently (Shannon, 1948)

Stephenson (1999) is a historical dramatization with silly late-1990s story tacked on



[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロメ イ押 メイヨメ イヨメ

ă.

 $2Q$ 

Historically, this is the origin of information theory: sending coded messages efficiently (Shannon, 1948) Stephenson (1999) is a historical dramatization with silly late-1990s story tacked on **channel capacity**  $C = \max I[X; Y]$  as we change distribution of

*X*



**[Entropy](#page-2-0)** [Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロメ イ押 メイヨメ イヨメ

ă.

 $2Q$ 

Historically, this is the origin of information theory: sending coded messages efficiently (Shannon, 1948)

Stephenson (1999) is a historical dramatization with silly late-1990s story tacked on **channel capacity**  $C = \max I[X; Y]$  as we change distribution of *X*

Any rate of information transfer < *C* can be achieved with arbitrarily small error rate, *no matter what the noise* No rate > *C* can be achieved without error

**[Entropy](#page-2-0)** [Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

4 ロ ) (何 ) (日 ) (日 )

ă.

 $2Q$ 

Historically, this is the origin of information theory: sending coded messages efficiently (Shannon, 1948)

Stephenson (1999) is a historical dramatization with silly late-1990s story tacked on **channel capacity**  $C = \max I[X; Y]$  as we change distribution of *X*

Any rate of information transfer < *C* can be achieved with arbitrarily small error rate, *no matter what the noise* No rate > *C* can be achieved without error *C* is also related to the value of information in gambling (Poundstone, 2005)

**[Entropy](#page-2-0)** [Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロメ イ押 メイヨメ イヨメ

ă.

 $2Q$ 

Historically, this is the origin of information theory: sending coded messages efficiently (Shannon, 1948)

Stephenson (1999) is a historical dramatization with silly late-1990s story tacked on **channel capacity**  $C = \max I[X; Y]$  as we change distribution of *X*

Any rate of information transfer < *C* can be achieved with arbitrarily small error rate, *no matter what the noise* No rate > *C* can be achieved without error *C* is also related to the value of information in gambling (Poundstone, 2005) This is *not* the only model of communication! (Sperber and

Wilson, 1995, 1990)

**[Description Length](#page-15-0)** [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロメ 不優 トイヨメ イヨメー

G.

 $2Q$ 

# Conditional Mutual Information

$$
I[X; Y|Z] = H[X|Z] + H[Y|Z] - H[X, Y|Z]
$$

### How much extra information do *X* and *Y* give, over and above what's in *Z*?



[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

4 ロ ) (何 ) (日 ) (日 )

G.

 $2Q$ 

# Conditional Mutual Information

$$
I[X; Y|Z] = H[X|Z] + H[Y|Z] - H[X, Y|Z]
$$

How much extra information do *X* and *Y* give, over and above what's in *Z*?  $X \perp \!\!\! \perp Y | Z$  if and only if  $I[X; Y|Z] = 0$ 

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

K ロ ⊁ K 何 ≯ K ヨ ⊁ K ヨ ⊁

<span id="page-49-0"></span> $2Q$ 

# Conditional Mutual Information

$$
I[X; Y|Z] = H[X|Z] + H[Y|Z] - H[X, Y|Z]
$$

How much extra information do *X* and *Y* give, over and above what's in *Z*?  $X \perp \!\!\! \perp Y$  *Z* if and only if *I*[*X*; *Y*|*Z*] = 0

Markov property is completely equivalent to

$$
I[X_{t+1}^{\infty}; X_{-\infty}^{t-1}|X_t] = 0
$$

Markov property is really about information flow

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-55-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロメ 不優 トイヨメ イヨメー

G.

<span id="page-50-0"></span> $2Q$ 

## What About Continuous Variables?

**Differential entropy**:

$$
H(X) \equiv -\int dx p(x) \log_2 p(x)
$$

where *p* has to be the probability density function



[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-55-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロメ 不優 トイヨメ イヨメー

G.

 $2Q$ 

## What About Continuous Variables?

**Differential entropy**:

$$
H(X) \equiv -\int dx p(x) \log_2 p(x)
$$

where *p* has to be the probability density function  $H(X) < 0$  entirely possible

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-55-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロメ イ押 メイヨメ イヨメ

G.

 $2Q$ 

# What About Continuous Variables?

### **Differential entropy**:

$$
H(X) \equiv -\int dx p(x) \log_2 p(x)
$$

where *p* has to be the probability density function  $H(X) < 0$  entirely possible Differential entropy *varies* under 1-1 maps (e.g. coordinate changes)

**[Entropy](#page-2-0)** [Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-55-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロメ イ押 メイヨメ イヨメ

B

 $2Q$ 

# What About Continuous Variables?

### **Differential entropy**:

$$
H(X) \equiv -\int dx p(x) \log_2 p(x)
$$

where *p* has to be the probability density function  $H(X) < 0$  entirely possible Differential entropy *varies* under 1-1 maps (e.g. coordinate changes)

Joint and conditional entropy definitions carry over

**[Entropy](#page-2-0)** [Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-55-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロメ イ押 メイヨメ イヨメ

ă.

 $2Q$ 

# What About Continuous Variables?

### **Differential entropy**:

$$
H(X) \equiv -\int dx p(x) \log_2 p(x)
$$

where *p* has to be the probability density function  $H(X) < 0$  entirely possible Differential entropy *varies* under 1-1 maps (e.g. coordinate changes)

Joint and conditional entropy definitions carry over Mutual information definition carries over

**[Entropy](#page-2-0)** [Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

4 ロ ) (何 ) (日 ) (日 )

<span id="page-55-0"></span> $2Q$ 

# What About Continuous Variables?

### **Differential entropy**:

$$
H(X) \equiv -\int dx p(x) \log_2 p(x)
$$

where *p* has to be the probability density function

 $H(X) < 0$  entirely possible

Differential entropy *varies* under 1-1 maps (e.g. coordinate changes)

Joint and conditional entropy definitions carry over Mutual information definition carries over

MI *is* non-negative and invariant under 1-1 maps

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

4 ロ ) (何 ) (日 ) (日 )

B

<span id="page-56-0"></span> $2Q$ 

# Relative Entropy

*P*,  $Q =$  two distributions on the same space X

$$
D(P||Q) \equiv \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(x) \log_2 \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}
$$

Or, if  $X$  is continuous,

$$
D(P||Q) \equiv \int_{\mathcal{X}} dx \ p(x) \log_2 \frac{p(x)}{q(x)}
$$

Or, if you like measure theory,

$$
D(P \| Q) \equiv \int dP(\omega) \log_2 \frac{dP}{dQ}(\omega)
$$

### a.k.a. **Kullback-Leibler divergence**

#### [Entropy and Information](#page-2-0)

[Entropy and Ergodicity](#page-67-0) [Relative Entropy and Statistics](#page-86-0) [References](#page-137-0) [Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロト イ団 トイヨ トイヨ トー

重。  $299$ 

## Relative Entropy Properties



**[Description Length](#page-15-0)** [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロト イ団 トイヨ トイヨ トー

÷.

 $2Q$ 

## Relative Entropy Properties

### $D(P||Q) \geq 0$ , with equality if and only if  $P = Q$



[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロメイ部メイ君メイ君メー

G.

 $2Q$ 

## Relative Entropy Properties

### $D(P||Q) \geq 0$ , with equality if and only if  $P = Q$  $D(P||Q) \neq D(Q||P)$ , in general

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロメ イ押メ イヨメ イヨメー

ă.  $QQ$ 

# Relative Entropy Properties

 $D(P||Q) > 0$ , with equality if and only if  $P = Q$  $D(P||Q) \neq D(Q||P)$ , in general  $D(P||Q) = \infty$  if *Q* gives probability zero to something with positive *P* probability (*P* not dominated by *Q*)

**[Entropy](#page-2-0)** [Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロメ イ押メ イヨメ イヨメー

ă.

 $2Q$ 

# Relative Entropy Properties

 $D(P||Q) > 0$ , with equality if and only if  $P = Q$  $D(P||Q) \neq D(Q||P)$ , in general  $D(P||Q) = \infty$  if *Q* gives probability zero to something with positive *P* probability (*P* not dominated by *Q*) Invariant under 1-1 maps

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロト イ団 トイヨ トイヨ トー

G.  $QQ$ 

Joint and Conditional Relative Entropies

*P*, *Q* now distributions on  $X, Y$ 

 $D(P||Q) = D(P(X)||Q(X)) + D(P(Y|X)||Q(Y|X))$ 

where

$$
D(P(Y|X)||Q(Y|X)) = \sum_{x} P(x)D(P(Y|X=x)||Q(Y|X=x))
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{x} P(x) \sum_{y} P(y|x) \log_2 \frac{P(y|x)}{Q(y|x)}
$$

and so on for more than two variables

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ トー

÷.

 $2Q$ 

## Relative entropy can be the basic concept

$$
H[X] = \log_2 m - D(P||U)
$$

### where  $m = \#\mathcal{X}$ ,  $U =$  uniform dist on  $\mathcal{X}$ ,  $P =$  dist of X

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

 $(1 - 4)$   $(1 -$ 

G.

 $2Q$ 

## Relative entropy can be the basic concept

$$
H[X]=\log_2 m - D(P\|U)
$$

where  $m = \#\mathcal{X}$ ,  $U =$  uniform dist on  $\mathcal{X}$ ,  $P =$  dist of X

$$
I[X; Y] = D(J \| P \otimes Q)
$$

where  $P =$  dist of  $X$ ,  $Q =$  dist of  $Y$ ,  $J =$  joint dist

**[Entropy](#page-2-0)** [Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

 $2Q$ 

# Relative Entropy and Miscoding

Suppose real distribution is *P* but we think it's *Q* and we use that for coding

Our average code length (**cross-entropy**) is

$$
-\sum_{x} P(x) \log_2 Q(x)
$$

But the optimum code length is

$$
-\sum_{x} P(x) \log_2 P(x)
$$

Difference is relative entropy Relative entropy is the extra description length from getting the distribution wrong K ロ ⊁ K 個 ≯ K 君 ⊁ K 君 ⊁

[Description Length](#page-15-0) [Multiple Variables and Mutual Information](#page-29-0) [Continuous Variables](#page-50-0) [Relative Entropy](#page-56-0)

イロメ イ押 メイヨメ イヨメ

<span id="page-66-0"></span> $2Q$ 

# Basics: Summary

 $Entropy = minimum mean description length$ ; variability of the random quantity

Mutual information  $=$  reduction in description length from using dependencies

Relative entropy  $=$  excess description length from quessing the wrong distribution

[Information Sources](#page-70-0) [Entropy Rates](#page-73-0) [Entropy Rates and Dynamics](#page-81-0) [Asymptotic Equipartition](#page-83-0)

## Information Sources

 $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n, \ldots$  a sequence of random variables  $X_s^t = (X_s, X_{s+1}, \ldots X_{t-1}, X_t)$ Any sort of random process process will do



4 ロ ) (何 ) (日 ) (日 )

 $2990$ 

<span id="page-67-0"></span>G.

[Information Sources](#page-70-0) [Entropy Rates](#page-73-0) [Entropy Rates and Dynamics](#page-81-0) [Asymptotic Equipartition](#page-83-0)

## Information Sources

 $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n, \ldots$  a sequence of random variables  $X_s^t = (X_s, X_{s+1}, \ldots X_{t-1}, X_t)$ Any sort of random process process will do Sequence of messages Successive outputs of a stochastic system



4 ロ ) (何 ) (日 ) (日 )

ă.  $QQ$ 

[Information Sources](#page-70-0) [Entropy Rates](#page-73-0) [Entropy Rates and Dynamics](#page-81-0) [Asymptotic Equipartition](#page-83-0)

## Information Sources

 $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n, \ldots$  a sequence of random variables  $X_s^t = (X_s, X_{s+1}, \ldots X_{t-1}, X_t)$ Any sort of random process process will do Sequence of messages Successive outputs of a stochastic system *Need not* be from a communication channel e.g., successive states of a dynamical system

4 ロ ) (何 ) (日 ) (日 )

 $2Q$ 

[Information Sources](#page-67-0) [Entropy Rates](#page-73-0) [Entropy Rates and Dynamics](#page-81-0) [Asymptotic Equipartition](#page-83-0)

## Information Sources

 $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n, \ldots$  a sequence of random variables  $X_s^t = (X_s, X_{s+1}, \ldots X_{t-1}, X_t)$ Any sort of random process process will do Sequence of messages Successive outputs of a stochastic system *Need not* be from a communication channel e.g., successive states of a dynamical system or *coarse-grained* observations of the dynamics

4 ロ ) (何 ) (日 ) (日 )

<span id="page-70-0"></span> $2Q$ 

[Information Sources](#page-67-0) [Entropy Rates](#page-73-0) [Entropy Rates and Dynamics](#page-81-0) [Asymptotic Equipartition](#page-83-0)

### Definition (Strict or Strong Stationarity)

for any  $k > 0$ ,  $T > 0$ , for all  $w \in \mathcal{X}^k$ 

$$
\Pr\left(X_1^k = w\right) = \Pr\left(X_{1+T}^{k+T} = w\right)
$$

i.e., the distribution is invariant over time

イロト イ団 トイヨ トイヨ トー

G.

 $2Q$
[Information Sources](#page-67-0) [Entropy Rates](#page-73-0) [Entropy Rates and Dynamics](#page-81-0) [Asymptotic Equipartition](#page-83-0)

Law of large numbers for stationary sequences

#### Theorem (Ergodic Theorem)

*If X is stationary, then the empirical distribution converges*

$$
\hat{P}_n \rightarrow \rho
$$

*for some limit* ρ*, and for all nice functions f*

$$
\frac{1}{n}\sum_{t=1}^n f(X_t) \to \mathsf{E}_{\rho}\left[f(X)\right]
$$

but  $\rho$  may be random and depend on initial conditions one  $\rho$  per attractor

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

B

[Information Sources](#page-67-0) [Entropy Rates](#page-73-0) [Entropy Rates and Dynamics](#page-81-0) [Asymptotic Equipartition](#page-83-0)



**Entropy rate**, a.k.a. **Shannon entropy rate**, a.k.a. **metric entropy rate**

$$
h_1 \equiv \lim_{n \to \infty} H[X_n | X_1^{n-1}]
$$

How many extra bits to we need to describe the next observation (in the limit)?

#### Theorem

*h*<sup>1</sup> *exists for any stationary process (and some others)*

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

<span id="page-73-0"></span>ă.  $QQ$ 

[Information Sources](#page-67-0) [Entropy Rates](#page-73-0) [Entropy Rates and Dynamics](#page-81-0) [Asymptotic Equipartition](#page-83-0)

#### Examples of entropy rates

$$
H[X_n|X_1^{n-1}] = H[X_1] = h_1
$$
  
Markov  $H[X_n|X_1^{n-1}] = H[X_n|X_{n-1}] = H[X_2|X_1] = h_1$   
 $k^{\text{th}}$ -order Markov  $h_1 = H[X_{k+1}|X_1^k]$ 

 $\Xi$ 

 $299$ 

イロメ 不優 メイ君 メイ君 メー

[Information Sources](#page-67-0) [Entropy Rates](#page-73-0) [Entropy Rates and Dynamics](#page-81-0) [Asymptotic Equipartition](#page-83-0)

Using chain rule, can re-write  $h_1$  as

$$
h_1=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}H[X_1^n]
$$

description length per unit time

メロメメ 御きメ ミカメ モド

÷.

 $2990$ 

[Information Sources](#page-67-0) [Entropy Rates](#page-73-0) [Entropy Rates and Dynamics](#page-81-0) [Asymptotic Equipartition](#page-83-0)

# Topological Entropy Rate

 $W_n \equiv$  number of allowed words of length *n*  $\equiv \#\{w \in \mathcal{X}^n : \Pr(X_1^n = w) > 0\}$  $log_2 W_n \equiv$  **topological entropy topological entropy rate**

$$
h_0=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\log_2 W_n
$$

 $H[X_1^n] = \log_2 W_n$  if and only if each word is equally probable  $\bigcirc$  Otherwise  $H[X_1^n] < \log_2 W_n$ 

イロメ 不優 トメ ヨ メ ス ヨ メー

ă.

[Information Sources](#page-67-0) [Entropy Rates](#page-73-0) [Entropy Rates and Dynamics](#page-81-0) [Asymptotic Equipartition](#page-83-0)

#### Metric vs. Topological Entropy Rates

 $h_0$  = growth rate in # allowed words, counting all equally  $h_1$  = growth rate, counting more probable words more heavily



 $4$  ロ }  $4$   $6$  }  $4$   $\pm$  }  $4$   $\pm$  }

ă.

[Information Sources](#page-67-0) [Entropy Rates](#page-73-0) [Entropy Rates and Dynamics](#page-81-0) [Asymptotic Equipartition](#page-83-0)

### Metric vs. Topological Entropy Rates

 $h_0$  = growth rate in # allowed words, counting all equally  $h_1$  = growth rate, counting more probable words more heavily *effective* number of words



 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

ă.

[Information Sources](#page-67-0) [Entropy Rates](#page-73-0) [Entropy Rates and Dynamics](#page-81-0) [Asymptotic Equipartition](#page-83-0)

### Metric vs. Topological Entropy Rates

 $h_0$  = growth rate in # allowed words, counting all equally  $h_1$  = growth rate, counting more probable words more heavily *effective* number of words So:

$$
h_0\geq h_1
$$

イロメ イ押 メイヨメ イヨメ

ă.

[Information Sources](#page-67-0) [Entropy Rates](#page-73-0) [Entropy Rates and Dynamics](#page-81-0) [Asymptotic Equipartition](#page-83-0)

### Metric vs. Topological Entropy Rates

 $h_0$  = growth rate in # allowed words, counting all equally  $h_1$  = growth rate, counting more probable words more heavily *effective* number of words So:

#### $h_0 > h_1$

2 *<sup>h</sup>*<sup>1</sup> = *effective* # of choices of how to go on

4 ロ ) (何 ) (日 ) (日 )

ă.

[Information Sources](#page-67-0) [Entropy Rates](#page-73-0) [Entropy Rates and Dynamics](#page-81-0) [Asymptotic Equipartition](#page-83-0)

 $\equiv$ 

<span id="page-81-0"></span> $QQ$ 

# KS Entropy Rate

 $h_1$  = growth rate of mean description length of *trajectories* Chaos needs  $h_1 > 0$ Coarse-graining deterministic dynamics, each partition  $\beta$  has its own  $h_1(\mathcal{B})$ 

**Kolmogorov-Sinai (KS) entropy rate**:

$$
h_{KS} = \sup_{\mathcal{B}} h_1(\mathcal{B})
$$

#### Theorem

*If* G is a generating partition, then  $h_{KS} = h_1(\mathcal{G})$ 

*hKS* is the *asymptotic randomness* of the dynamical system or, the rate at which the symbol sequence provides *new information* about the initial condition イロト 不優 ト 不思 ト 不思 トー

[Information Sources](#page-67-0) [Entropy Rates](#page-73-0) [Entropy Rates and Dynamics](#page-81-0) [Asymptotic Equipartition](#page-83-0)

### Entropy Rate and Lyapunov Exponents

In general (Ruelle's inequality),

$$
h_{KS} \leq \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \mathbf{1}_{x>0}(\lambda_i)
$$

If the invariant measure is smooth, this is equality (Pesin's identity)

イロメ イ押 メイヨメ イヨメ

B

 $QQ$ 

[Information Sources](#page-67-0) [Entropy Rates](#page-73-0) [Entropy Rates and Dynamics](#page-81-0) [Asymptotic Equipartition](#page-83-0)

## Asymptotic Equipartition Property

When  $n$  is large, for any word  $x_1^n$ , either

$$
\Pr\left(X_1^n=x_1^n\right)\approx 2^{-nh_1}
$$

or

$$
\Pr\left(X_1^n=x_1^n\right)\approx 0
$$

More exactly, it's almost certain that

$$
-\frac{1}{n}\log\Pr\left(X_{1}^{n}\right)\rightarrow h_{1}
$$

This is the **entropy ergodic theorem** or **Shannon-MacMillan-Breiman theorem**

イロメ イ押 メイヨメ イヨメ

B

<span id="page-83-0"></span> $QQ$ 

[Entropy and Information](#page-2-0) [Entropy and Ergodicity](#page-67-0) [Relative Entropy and Statistics](#page-86-0) [References](#page-137-0) [Information Sources](#page-67-0) [Entropy Rates](#page-73-0) [Entropy Rates and Dynamics](#page-81-0) [Asymptotic Equipartition](#page-83-0)

Relative entropy version:

$$
-\frac{1}{n}\log Q_\theta(X_1^n) \to h_1 + d(P \| Q_\theta)
$$

where

$$
d(P||Q_{\theta}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} D(P(X_1^n)||Q_{\theta}(X_1^n))
$$

Relative entropy AEP implies entropy AEP

4 ロ ) (何 ) (日 ) (日 )

÷.

 $2990$ 

[Information Sources](#page-67-0) [Entropy Rates](#page-73-0) [Entropy Rates and Dynamics](#page-81-0) [Asymptotic Equipartition](#page-83-0)

#### Entropy and Ergodicity: Summary

 $h_1$  is the growth rate of the entropy, or number of choices made in continuing the trajectory Measures instability in dynamical systems

Typical sequences have probabilities shrinking at the entropy rate

**≮ロト ⊀ 何 ト ⊀ ヨ ト ⊀ ヨ ト** 

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-87-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

 $4$  ロ }  $4$   $6$  }  $4$   $\pm$  }  $4$   $\pm$  }

 $2Q$ 

<span id="page-86-0"></span>э

### Relative Entropy and Sampling; Large Deviations

 $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  all IID with distribution P  ${\sf Empirical\ distribution} \equiv \hat{P}_n$ Law of large numbers (LLN):  $\hat{P}_n \rightarrow P$ 



[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

4 ロ ) (何 ) (日 ) (日 )

<span id="page-87-0"></span> $QQ$ 

## Relative Entropy and Sampling; Large Deviations

 $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  all IID with distribution *P*  ${\sf Empirical\ distribution} \equiv \hat{P}_n$ Law of large numbers (LLN):  $\hat{P}_n \rightarrow P$ 

#### Theorem (Sanov)

$$
-\frac{1}{n}\log_2\Pr\left(\hat{P}_n\in A\right)\to\mathop{\rm argmin}\limits_{Q\in A}D(Q\|P)
$$

or, for non-mathematicians,

$$
\Pr\left(\hat{P}_n \approx Q\right) \approx 2^{-nD(Q||P)}
$$

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

**K ロ ト K 伊 ト K ヨ ト K** 

 $2Q$ 

#### Sanov's theorem is part of the general theory of **large deviations**:

#### Pr(fluctuations away from law of large numbers)  $\rightarrow 0$ exponentially in *n* rate functon generally a relative entropy

More on large devations: Bucklew (1990); den Hollander (2000) LDP explains statistical mechanics; see Touchette (2008), or talk to Eric Smith

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-91-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

 $4$  ロ }  $4$   $6$  }  $4$   $\pm$  }  $4$   $\pm$  }

<span id="page-89-0"></span>È.  $2Q$ 

### Relative Entropy and Hypothesis Testing

Testing *P* vs. *Q* Optimal error rate (chance of guessing *Q* when really *P*) goes like

 $\Pr\left(\text{error}\right) \approx 2^{-nD(Q\|P)}$ 

For dependent data, substitute sum of conditional relative entropies for *nD*



[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-91-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

4 ロ ) (何 ) (日 ) (日 )

È.  $QQ$ 

Relative Entropy and Hypothesis Testing

Testing *P* vs. *Q* Optimal error rate (chance of guessing *Q* when really *P*) goes like

 $\Pr\left(\text{error}\right) \approx 2^{-nD(Q\|P)}$ 

For dependent data, substitute sum of conditional relative entropies for *nD* More exact statement:

$$
\frac{1}{n}\log_2\Pr\left(\text{error}\right)\rightarrow-D(Q\|P)
$$

For dependent data, substitute sum conditional relative entropy rate for *D*

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

4 ロ ) (何 ) (日 ) (日 )

<span id="page-91-0"></span> $QQ$ 

## Relative Entropy and Hypothesis Testing

Testing *P* vs. *Q* Optimal error rate (chance of guessing *Q* when really *P*) goes like

 $\Pr\left(\text{error}\right) \approx 2^{-nD(Q\|P)}$ 

For dependent data, substitute sum of conditional relative entropies for *nD* More exact statement:

$$
\frac{1}{n}\log_2\Pr\left(\text{error}\right)\rightarrow-D(Q\|P)
$$

For dependent data, substitute sum conditional relative entropy rate for *D* The bigger *D*(*Q*k*P*), the easier is to test which is right

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

イロメ イ押 メイヨメ イヨメ

<span id="page-92-0"></span> $2Q$ 

## Method of Maximum Likelihood

Fisher (1922) Data  $=$  *X* with true distribution  $=$  *P* Model distributions =  $Q_{\theta}$ ,  $\theta$  = parameter Look for the  $Q_{\theta}$  which best describes the data **Likelihood** at  $\theta$  is probability of generating the data  $Q_{\theta}(x) \equiv \mathcal{L}(\theta)$ Estimate  $\theta$  by maximizing likelihood, equivalently log-likelihood  $\mathcal{L}(\theta) \equiv \log Q_{\theta}(x)$ 

$$
\widehat{\theta} \equiv \underset{\theta}{\text{argmax}} \mathcal{L}(\theta) = \underset{\theta}{\text{argmax}} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \log Q_{\theta}(x_t | x_1^{t-1})
$$

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

イロメ イ押 メイヨメ イヨメ

ă.

 $2Q$ 

#### Maximum likelihood and relative entropy

Suppose we want the *Q*<sup>θ</sup> which will best describe *new* data Optimal parameter value is

$$
\theta^* = \operatornamewithlimits{argmin}_\theta D(P \| Q_\theta)
$$

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

4 ロ ) (何 ) (日 ) (日 )

B

 $2Q$ 

Maximum likelihood and relative entropy

Suppose we want the  $Q_{\theta}$  which will best describe *new* data Optimal parameter value is

$$
\theta^* = \operatornamewithlimits{argmin}_\theta D(P \| Q_\theta)
$$

If  $P = Q_{\theta_0}$  for some  $\theta_0$ , then  $\theta^* = \theta_0$  (true parameter value)

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

K ロ ⊁ K 何 ≯ K ヨ ⊁ K ヨ ⊁

 $2Q$ 

Maximum likelihood and relative entropy

Suppose we want the  $Q_{\theta}$  which will best describe *new* data Optimal parameter value is

$$
\theta^* = \operatornamewithlimits{argmin}_\theta D(P \| Q_\theta)
$$

If  $P = Q_{\theta_0}$  for some  $\theta_0$ , then  $\theta^* = \theta_0$  (true parameter value) Otherwise θ ∗ is the **pseudo-true** parameter value

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

4 ロ ) (何 ) (日 ) (日 )

÷.

 $299$ 

$$
\theta^* = \underset{\theta}{\text{argmin}} \sum_{x} P(x) \log_2 \frac{P(x)}{Q_{\theta}(x)}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \underset{\theta}{\text{argmin}} \sum_{x} P(x) \log_2 P(x) - P(x) \log_2 Q_{\theta}(x)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \underset{\theta}{\text{argmin}} - H_P[X] - \sum_{x} P(x) \log_2 Q_{\theta}(x)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \underset{\theta}{\text{argmin}} - \sum_{x} P(x) \log_2 Q_{\theta}(x)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \underset{\theta}{\text{argmax}} \sum_{x} P(x) \log_2 Q_{\theta}(x)
$$

This is the *expected log-likelihood*

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

**K ロ ト K 何 ト K ヨ ト K ヨ ト** 

÷.

 $2Q$ 

#### We don't know *P* but we do have *P*ˆ *n* For IID case

$$
\hat{\theta} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \log Q_{\theta}(x_{t})
$$
\n
$$
= \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \log_{2} Q_{\theta}(x_{t})
$$
\n
$$
= \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{x} \hat{P}_{n}(x) \log_{2} Q_{\theta}(x)
$$

So  $\hat{\theta}$  comes from approximating  $P$  by  $\hat{P}_n$  $\hat{\theta} \rightarrow \theta^*$  because  $\hat{\mathsf{P}}_n \rightarrow \mathsf{P}$ 

Non-IID case (e.g. Markov) similar, more notation

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

 $4$  ロ }  $4$   $6$  }  $4$   $\pm$  }  $4$   $\pm$  }

B

 $2Q$ 

#### Relative Entropy and Log Likelihood

In general:

#### −*H*[*X*] − *D*(*P*k*Q*) = expected log-likelihood of *Q* −*H*[*X*] = optimal expected log-likelihood (ideal model)

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

メロメメ 御きメ ミカメ モド

÷.

 $2Q$ 

## Why Maximum Likelihood?

<sup>1</sup> The inherent compelling rightness of the optimization principle



[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

4 ロ ) (何 ) (日 ) (日 )

B

 $2Q$ 

## Why Maximum Likelihood?

<sup>1</sup> The inherent compelling rightness of the optimization principle (a bad answer)



[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

K ロ ⊁ K 何 ≯ K ヨ ⊁ K ヨ ⊁

ă.  $QQ$ 

# Why Maximum Likelihood?

- <sup>1</sup> The inherent compelling rightness of the optimization principle (a bad answer)
- 2 Generally **consistent**:  $\widehat{\theta}$  converges on the optimal value

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

K ロ ⊁ K 何 ≯ K ヨ ⊁ K ヨ ⊁

ă.  $QQ$ 

# Why Maximum Likelihood?

- <sup>1</sup> The inherent compelling rightness of the optimization principle (a bad answer)
- 2 Generally **consistent**:  $\hat{\theta}$  converges on the optimal value (as we just saw)

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

**K ロ ト K 御 ト K ヨ ト K** 

 $2Q$ 

# Why Maximum Likelihood?

- <sup>1</sup> The inherent compelling rightness of the optimization principle (a bad answer)
- 2 Generally **consistent**:  $\hat{\theta}$  converges on the optimal value (as we just saw)
- <sup>3</sup> Generally **efficient**: converges faster than other consistent estimators

(2) and (3) are really theorems of probability theory let's look a bit more at efficiency

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-107-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

4 ロ ) (何 ) (日 ) (日 )

÷.

<span id="page-104-0"></span> $2Q$ 

## Fisher Information

Fisher: Taylor-expand  $\mathcal L$  to second order around maximum



[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-107-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

イロメ イ押 メイヨメ イヨメ

 $2990$ 

B

## Fisher Information

Fisher: Taylor-expand  $\mathcal L$  to second order around maximum **Fisher information matrix**

$$
F_{uv}(\theta_0) \equiv -\mathbf{E}_{\theta_0} \left[ \left. \frac{\partial^2 \log Q_{\theta_0}(X)}{\partial \theta_u \partial \theta_v} \right|_{\theta = \theta_0} \right]
$$

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-107-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

イロメ イ押 メイヨメ イヨメ

÷.

 $2Q$ 

# Fisher Information

Fisher: Taylor-expand  $\mathcal L$  to second order around maximum **Fisher information matrix**

$$
F_{uv}(\theta_0) \equiv -\mathbf{E}_{\theta_0} \left[ \left. \frac{\partial^2 \log Q_{\theta_0}(X)}{\partial \theta_u \partial \theta_v} \right|_{\theta = \theta_0} \right]
$$

 $F \propto n$  (for IID, Markov, etc.)

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

イロメ イ押 メイヨメ イヨメ

<span id="page-107-0"></span>ă.  $QQ$ 

# Fisher Information

Fisher: Taylor-expand  $\mathcal L$  to second order around maximum **Fisher information matrix**

$$
F_{uv}(\theta_0) \equiv -\mathbf{E}_{\theta_0} \left[ \left. \frac{\partial^2 \log Q_{\theta_0}(X)}{\partial \theta_u \partial \theta_v} \right|_{\theta = \theta_0} \right]
$$

 $F \propto n$  (for IID, Markov, etc.) Variance of  $\hat{\theta} = F^{-1}$  (under some regularity conditions)
[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

÷.

 $2Q$ 

### The Information Bound

#### Theorem (Cramér-Rao)

*F* −1 *is the minimum variance for any unbiased estimator*



[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

 $2990$ 

ă,

## The Information Bound

#### Theorem (Cramér-Rao)

*F* −1 *is the minimum variance for any unbiased estimator*

#### because uncertainty in  $\hat{\theta}$  depends on curvature at maximum

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

**≮ロト ⊀ 何 ト ⊀ ヨ ト ⊀ ヨ ト** 

 $2Q$ 

## The Information Bound

#### Theorem (Cramér-Rao)

*F* −1 *is the minimum variance for any unbiased estimator*

because uncertainty in  $\hat{\theta}$  depends on curvature at maximum leads to a whole **information geometry**, with *F* as the metric tensor (Amari *et al.*, 1987; Kass and Vos, 1997; Kulhavý, 1996; Amari and Nagaoka, 1993/2000)

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

÷.

 $2Q$ 

#### Relative Entropy and Fisher Information

$$
F_{uv}(\theta_0) = -\mathbf{E}_{\theta_0} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \log Q_{\theta_0}(X)}{\partial \theta_u \partial \theta_v} \Big|_{\theta = \theta_0} \right]
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_u \partial \theta_v} D(Q_{\theta_0} || Q_{\theta}) \Big|_{\theta = \theta_0}
$$

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

K ロ ⊁ K 何 ≯ K ヨ ⊁ K ヨ ⊁

 $QQ$ 

Relative Entropy and Fisher Information

$$
F_{uv}(\theta_0) = -\mathbf{E}_{\theta_0} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \log Q_{\theta_0}(X)}{\partial \theta_u \partial \theta_v} \Big|_{\theta = \theta_0} \right]
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_u \partial \theta_v} D(Q_{\theta_0} || Q_{\theta}) \Big|_{\theta = \theta_0}
$$

Fisher information is how quickly the relative entropy grows with small changes in parameters

$$
D(\theta_0\|\theta_0+\epsilon) \approx \epsilon^{\mathcal{T}}\mathcal{F}\epsilon + O(\|\epsilon\|^3)
$$

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

 $2Q$ 

Relative Entropy and Fisher Information

$$
F_{uv}(\theta_0) = -\mathbf{E}_{\theta_0} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \log Q_{\theta_0}(X)}{\partial \theta_u \partial \theta_v} \bigg|_{\theta = \theta_0} \right]
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_u \partial \theta_v} D(Q_{\theta_0} || Q_{\theta}) \bigg|_{\theta = \theta_0}
$$

Fisher information is how quickly the relative entropy grows with small changes in parameters

$$
D(\theta_0 \|\theta_0 + \epsilon) \approx \epsilon^T \mathcal{F} \epsilon + O(\|\epsilon\|^3)
$$

Intuition: "easy to estimate" = "easy to reject sub-optimal values" K ロ ⊁ K 個 ≯ K 君 ⊁ K 君 ⊁

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-115-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

**K ロ ト K 伺 ト K ヨ ト K ヨ ト** 

÷.

<span id="page-114-0"></span> $2Q$ 

#### Maximum Entropy: A Dead End

Given *constraints* on expectation values of functions **E**[ $g_1(X)$ ] =  $c_1$ , **E** [ $g_2(X)$ ] =  $c_2$ , . . . **E** [ $g_q(X)$ ] =  $c_q$ 



[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

**K ロ ト K 何 ト K ヨ ト K ヨ ト** 

B

<span id="page-115-0"></span> $2Q$ 

### Maximum Entropy: A Dead End

Given *constraints* on expectation values of functions **E**[ $g_1(X)$ ] =  $c_1$ , **E** [ $g_2(X)$ ] =  $c_2$ , ... **E** [ $g_q(X)$ ] =  $c_q$ 

$$
\tilde{P}_{ME} \equiv \underset{P}{\text{argmax}} H[P] : \forall i, \mathbf{E}_P[g_i(X)] = c_i
$$
\n
$$
= \underset{P}{\text{argmax}} H[P] - \sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i (\mathbf{E}_P[g_i(X)] - c_i)
$$

with **Lagrange multipliers** λ*<sup>i</sup>* chosen to enforce the constraints

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

 $QQ$ 

## Solution: Exponential Families

Generic solution:

$$
P(x) = \frac{e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{q} \beta_i g_i(x)}}{\int dx e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{q} \beta_i g_i(x)}} = \frac{e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{q} \beta_i g_i(x)}}{Z(\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots \beta_q)}
$$

again  $\beta$  enforces constraints

Physics: **canonical ensemble** with extensive variables *g<sup>i</sup>* and intensive variables β*<sup>i</sup>*

Statistics: **exponential family** with sufficient statistics *g<sup>i</sup>* and natural parameters β*<sup>i</sup>*

If we take this family of distributions as basic, MLE is  $\beta$  such that  $\mathbf{E}[g_i(X)] = g_i(x)$ , i.e., mean = observed

Best discussion of the connection is still Mandelbrot (1962[\)](#page-115-0) **K ロ ト K 何 ト K ヨ ト** 

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

メロメメ 御きメ ミカメ モド

÷.

 $2Q$ 

#### The Method of Maximum Entropy

Calculate sample statistics *gi*(*x*)



[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

**≮ロト ⊀ 何 ト ⊀ ヨ ト ⊀ ヨ ト** 

 $2Q$ 

э

## The Method of Maximum Entropy

Calculate sample statistics *gi*(*x*) Assume that the distribution of *X* is the one which maximizes the entropy under those constraints



[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

**≮ロト ⊀ 何 ト ⊀ ヨ ト ⊀ ヨ ト** 

 $2Q$ 

э

## The Method of Maximum Entropy

Calculate sample statistics *gi*(*x*) Assume that the distribution of *X* is the one which maximizes the entropy under those constraints i.e., the MLE in the exponential family with those sufficient statistics



[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

**K ロメ K 御 メ K 君 メ K 君 X** 

 $2Q$ 

## The Method of Maximum Entropy

Calculate sample statistics *gi*(*x*)

Assume that the distribution of *X* is the one which maximizes the entropy under those constraints

i.e., the MLE in the exponential family with those sufficient statistics

Refinement: **Minimum relative entropy** , minimize divergence from a reference distribution — also leads to an exponential family but with a prefactor of the base density

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

K ロ ⊁ K 何 ≯ K ヨ ⊁ K ヨ ⊁

 $2Q$ 

## The Method of Maximum Entropy

Calculate sample statistics *gi*(*x*)

Assume that the distribution of *X* is the one which maximizes the entropy under those constraints

i.e., the MLE in the exponential family with those sufficient statistics

Refinement: **Minimum relative entropy** , minimize divergence from a reference distribution — also leads to an exponential family but with a prefactor of the base density Update distributions under new data by minimizing relative entropy

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

**≮ロト ⊀ 何 ト ⊀ ヨ ト ⊀ ヨ ト** 

<span id="page-122-0"></span> $2Q$ 

## The Method of Maximum Entropy

Calculate sample statistics *gi*(*x*)

Assume that the distribution of *X* is the one which maximizes the entropy under those constraints

i.e., the MLE in the exponential family with those sufficient statistics

Refinement: **Minimum relative entropy** , minimize divergence from a reference distribution — also leads to an exponential family but with a prefactor of the base density Update distributions under new data by minimizing relative

entropy

Often said to be the "least biased" estimate of *P*, or the one which makes "fewest assumptions"

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

B

<span id="page-123-0"></span> $2Q$ 

## About MaxEnt

MaxEnt has lots of devotees who basically think it's the answer to everything



[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

イロメ イ押 メイヨメ イヨメ

ă,

 $2Q$ 

## About MaxEnt

MaxEnt has lots of devotees who basically think it's the answer to everything And it sometimes works, because



[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

K ロ ⊁ K 何 ≯ K ヨ ⊁ K ヨ ⊁

ă,

 $2Q$ 

## About MaxEnt

MaxEnt has lots of devotees who basically think it's the answer to everything And it sometimes works, because

<sup>1</sup> Exponential families often decent approximations, MLE is cool

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

K ロ ⊁ K 伊 ⊁ K ヨ ⊁ K ヨ

 $2Q$ 

## About MaxEnt

MaxEnt has lots of devotees who basically think it's the answer to everything And it sometimes works, because

<sup>1</sup> Exponential families often decent approximations, MLE is cool but not everything is an exponential family

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

**K ロ ト K 何 ト K ヨ ト K ヨ** 

<span id="page-127-0"></span> $2Q$ 

# About MaxEnt

MaxEnt has lots of devotees who basically think it's the answer to everything And it sometimes works, because

- <sup>1</sup> Exponential families often decent approximations, MLE is cool but not everything is an exponential family
- <sup>2</sup> Conditional large deviations principle (Csiszár, 1995): if *P*ˆ is constrained to lie in a convex set *A*, then

$$
-\frac{1}{n}\log\Pr\left(\hat{P}\in B|\hat{P}\in A\right)\rightarrow\inf_{Q\in B\cap A}D(Q\|P)-D(Q\|A)
$$

so  $\hat{P}$  is exponentially close to argmin<sub>*Q∈A*</sub>  $D(Q||P)$ 

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

 $\Omega$ 

# About MaxEnt

MaxEnt has lots of devotees who basically think it's the answer to everything And it sometimes works, because

- <sup>1</sup> Exponential families often decent approximations, MLE is cool but not everything is an exponential family
- <sup>2</sup> Conditional large deviations principle (Csiszár, 1995): if *P*ˆ is constrained to lie in a convex set *A*, then

$$
-\frac{1}{n}\log\Pr\left(\hat{P}\in B|\hat{P}\in A\right)\rightarrow\inf_{Q\in B\cap A}D(Q\|P)-D(Q\|A)
$$

so  $\hat{P}$  is exponentially close to argmin<sub>*Q∈A*</sub>  $D(Q||P)$ but the conditional LDP doesn't alway[s h](#page-127-0)[ol](#page-129-0)[d](#page-122-0)

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

K ロ ⊁ K 何 ≯ K ヨ ⊁ K ヨ ⊁

ă,

<span id="page-129-0"></span> $2Q$ 

Updating by minimizing relative entropy can disagree with Bayes's rule (Seidenfeld, 1979, 1987; Grünwald and Halpern, 2003)



[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

K ロ ⊁ K 何 ≯ K ヨ ⊁ K ヨ ⊁

ă,

 $2Q$ 

Updating by minimizing relative entropy can disagree with Bayes's rule (Seidenfeld, 1979, 1987; Grünwald and Halpern, 2003) , *contra* claims by physicists

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

K ロ ⊁ K 何 ≯ K ヨ ⊁ K ヨ ⊁

 $2Q$ 

Updating by minimizing relative entropy can disagree with Bayes's rule (Seidenfeld, 1979, 1987; Grünwald and Halpern, 2003) , *contra* claims by physicists The "constraint rule" is certainly not required by logic or probability (Seidenfeld, 1979, 1987; Uffink, 1995, 1996)

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

**≮ロト ⊀ 何 ト ⊀ ヨ ト ⊀ ヨ ト** 

<span id="page-132-0"></span> $2Q$ 

Updating by minimizing relative entropy can disagree with Bayes's rule (Seidenfeld, 1979, 1987; Grünwald and Halpern, 2003) , *contra* claims by physicists The "constraint rule" is certainly not required by logic or probability (Seidenfeld, 1979, 1987; Uffink, 1995, 1996) MaxEnt (or MinRelEnt) is not the best rule for coming up with a prior distribution to use with Bayesian updating; all such rules suck (Kass and Wasserman, 1996)

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

<span id="page-133-0"></span> $2Q$ 

Minimum Description Length Inference

Rissanen (1978, 1989)

Chose a model to concisely describe the data maximum likelihood minimizes description length of the *data* . . . but you need to describe the model as well! Two-part MDL:

$$
\mathcal{D}_2(x, \theta, \Theta) = -\log_2 Q_{\theta}(x) + C(\theta, \Theta)
$$
  
\n
$$
\widehat{\theta}_{MDL} = \underset{\theta \in \Theta}{\text{argmin}} \mathcal{D}_2(x, \theta, \Theta)
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{D}_2(x, \Theta) = \mathcal{D}_2(x, \widehat{\theta}_{MDL}, \Theta)
$$

where *C* is a **coding scheme** for the para[me](#page-132-0)[te](#page-134-0)[rs](#page-132-0)

[Entropy and Information](#page-2-0) [Entropy and Ergodicity](#page-67-0) [Relative Entropy and Statistics](#page-86-0) [References](#page-137-0) [Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

*Must* fix coding scheme before seeing the data (EXERCISE: why?) By AEP

$$
n^{-1}\mathcal{D}_2 \rightarrow h_1 + \operatornamewithlimits{argmin}_{\theta \in \Theta} d(P \| Q_\theta)
$$

still for finite *n* the coding scheme matters (One-part MDL exists but would take too long)

K ロ ⊁ K 何 ≯ K ヨ ⊁ K ヨ ⊁

<span id="page-134-0"></span>ă,

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

 $\equiv$ 

<span id="page-135-0"></span> $2Q$ 

# Why Use MDL?

- **1** The inherent compelling rightness of the optimization principle
- <sup>2</sup> Good properties: for reasonable sources, if the **parametric complexity**

$$
\mathsf{COMP}(\Theta)=\mathsf{log}\sum_{\mathsf{w}\in\mathcal{X}^n}\mathsf{argmax}\ Q_\theta(\mathsf{w})
$$

is small — if there aren't all that many words which get high likelihoods — then if MDL did well in-sample, it will generalize well to new data from the same source

See Grünwald (2005, 2007) for much mor[e](#page-134-0)  $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

[Sampling and Large Deviations](#page-86-0) [Hypothesis Testing](#page-89-0) [Maximum Likelihood Estimation](#page-92-0) [Fisher Information and Estimation Uncertainty](#page-104-0) [Maximum Entropy: A Dead End](#page-114-0) [Minimum Description Length](#page-133-0)

K ロ ⊁ K 何 ≯ K ヨ ⊁ K ヨ ⊁

<span id="page-136-0"></span> $2Q$ 

#### Information and Statistics: Summary

Relative entropy controls large deviations Relative entropy  $=$  ease of discriminating distributions Easy discrimination  $\Rightarrow$  good estimation Large deviations explains why MaxEnt works when it does

Amari, Shun-ichi, O. E. Barndorff-Nielsen, Robert E. Kass, Steffe L. Lauritzen and C. R. Rao (1987). *Differential Geometry in Statistical Inference*, vol. 10 of *Institute of Mathematical Statistics Lecture Notes-Monographs Series*. Hayward, California: Institute of Mathematical Statistics. URL [http:](http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.lnms/1215467056)

[//projecteuclid.org/euclid.lnms/1215467056](http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.lnms/1215467056).

Amari, Shun-ichi and Hiroshi Nagaoka (1993/2000). *Methods of Information Geometry*. Providence, Rhode Island: American Mathematical Society. Translated by Daishi Harada. As *Joho Kika no Hoho*, Tokyo: Iwanami Shoten Publishers.

Bucklew, James A. (1990). *Large Deviation Techniques in Decision, Simulation, and Estimation*. New York: Wiley-Interscience. イロメ イ押 メイヨメ イヨメ

<span id="page-137-0"></span>B

Cover, Thomas M. and Joy A. Thomas (1991). *Elements of Information Theory*. New York: Wiley.

Csiszár, Imre (1995). "Maxent, Mathematics, and Information Theory." In *Maximum Entropy and Bayesian Methods: Proceedings of the Fifteenth International Workshop on Maximum Entropy and Bayesian Methods* (Kenneth M. Hanson and Richard N. Silver, eds.), pp. 35–50. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic.

den Hollander, Frank (2000). *Large Deviations*. Providence, Rhode Island: American Mathematical Society.

Fisher, R. A. (1922). "On the Mathematical Foundations of Theoretical Statistics." *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A*, **222**: 309–368. URL

<span id="page-138-0"></span>[http://digital.library.adelaide.edu.au/coll/](http://digital.library.adelaide.edu.au/coll/special/fisher/stat_math.html) [special/fisher/stat\\_math.html](http://digital.library.adelaide.edu.au/coll/special/fisher/stat_math.html).

Grünwald, Peter (2005). "A Tutorial Introduction to the Minimum Description Length Principle." In *Advances in Minimum Description Length: Theory and Applications* (P. Grünwald and I. J. Myung and M. Pitt, eds.). Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press. URL

<http://arxiv.org/abs/math.ST/0406077>.

- Grünwald, Peter D. (2007). *The Minimum Description Length Principle*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press.
- Grünwald, Peter D. and Joseph Y. Halpern (2003). "Updating Probabilities." *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, **19**: 243–278. [doi:10.1613/jair.1164.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1613/jair.1164)

Kass, Robert E. and Paul W. Vos (1997). *Geometrical Foundations of Asymptotic Inference*. New York: Wiley. Kass, Robert E. and Larry Wasserman (1996). "The Selection of Prior Distributions by Formal Rules." *[Jou](#page-138-0)[rn](#page-140-0)[a](#page-135-0)[l](#page-136-0) [of](#page-137-0)[th](#page-133-0)[e](#page-144-0)*

*American Statistical Association*, **91**: 1343–1370. URL [http:](http://www.stat.cmu.edu/~kass/papers/rules.pdf)

[//www.stat.cmu.edu/~kass/papers/rules.pdf](http://www.stat.cmu.edu/~kass/papers/rules.pdf).

- Kulhavý, Rudolf (1996). *Recursive Nonlinear Estimation: A Geometric Approach*, vol. 216 of *Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Mandelbrot, Benoit (1962). "The Role of Sufficiency and of Estimation in Thermodynamics." *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, **33**: 1021–1038. URL [http:](http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.aoms/1177704470)

[//projecteuclid.org/euclid.aoms/1177704470](http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.aoms/1177704470).

Poundstone, William (2005). *Fortune's Formula: The Untold Story of the Scientific Betting Systems That Beat the Casinos and Wall Street*. New York: Hill and Wang. Rissanen, Jorma (1978). "Modeling by Shortest Data Description." *Automatica*, **14**: 465–471. ÷.

<span id="page-140-0"></span> $2990$ 

— (1989). *Stochastic Complexity in Statistical Inquiry*. Singapore: World Scientific.

Seidenfeld, Teddy (1979). "Why I Am Not an Objective Bayesian: Some Reflections Prompted by Rosenkrantz." *Theory and Decision*, **11**: 413–440. URL

[http://www.hss.cmu.edu/philosophy/seidenfeld/](http://www.hss.cmu.edu/philosophy/seidenfeld/relating%20to%20other%20probability%20and%20statistical%20issues/Why%20I%20Am%20Not%20an%20Objective%20B.pdf) [relating%20to%20other%20probability%20and%](http://www.hss.cmu.edu/philosophy/seidenfeld/relating%20to%20other%20probability%20and%20statistical%20issues/Why%20I%20Am%20Not%20an%20Objective%20B.pdf) [20statistical%20issues/Why%20I%20Am%20Not%](http://www.hss.cmu.edu/philosophy/seidenfeld/relating%20to%20other%20probability%20and%20statistical%20issues/Why%20I%20Am%20Not%20an%20Objective%20B.pdf) [20an%20Objective%20B.pdf](http://www.hss.cmu.edu/philosophy/seidenfeld/relating%20to%20other%20probability%20and%20statistical%20issues/Why%20I%20Am%20Not%20an%20Objective%20B.pdf).

<span id="page-141-0"></span>— (1987). "Entropy and Uncertainty." In *Foundations of Statistical Inference* (I. B. MacNeill and G. J. Umphrey, eds.), pp. 259–287. Dordrecht: D. Reidel. URL [http://www.hss.cmu.edu/philosophy/seidenfeld/](http://www.hss.cmu.edu/philosophy/seidenfeld/relating%20to%20other%20probability%20and%20statistical%20issues/Entropy%20and%20Uncertainty%20(revised).pdf) [relating%20to%20other%20probability%20and%](http://www.hss.cmu.edu/philosophy/seidenfeld/relating%20to%20other%20probability%20and%20statistical%20issues/Entropy%20and%20Uncertainty%20(revised).pdf)

[20statistical%20issues/Entropy%20and%](http://www.hss.cmu.edu/philosophy/seidenfeld/relating%20to%20other%20probability%20and%20statistical%20issues/Entropy%20and%20Uncertainty%20(revised).pdf) [20Uncertainty%20\(revised\).pdf](http://www.hss.cmu.edu/philosophy/seidenfeld/relating%20to%20other%20probability%20and%20statistical%20issues/Entropy%20and%20Uncertainty%20(revised).pdf).

- Shannon, Claude E. (1948). "A Mathematical Theory of Communication." *Bell System Technical Journal*, **27**: 379–423. URL [http://cm.bell-labs.com/cm/ms/](http://cm.bell-labs.com/cm/ms/what/shannonday/paper.html) [what/shannonday/paper.html](http://cm.bell-labs.com/cm/ms/what/shannonday/paper.html). Reprinted in Shannon and Weaver (1963).
- Shannon, Claude E. and Warren Weaver (1963). *The Mathematical Theory of Communication*. Urbana, Illinois: University of Illinois Press.
- Sperber, Dan and Deirdre Wilson (1990). "Rhetoric and Relevance." In *The Ends of Rhetoric: History, Theory, Practice* (David Wellbery and John Bender, eds.), pp. 140–155. Stanford: Stanford University Press. URL

<span id="page-142-0"></span><http://dan.sperber.com/rhetoric.htm>[.](#page-137-0)  $2Q$  — (1995). *Relevance: Cognition and Communication*. Oxford: Basil Blackwell, 2nd edn.

- Stephenson, Neal (1999). *Cryptonomicon*. New York: Avon Books.
- Touchette, Hugo (2008). "The Large Deviations Approach to Statistical Mechanics." E-print, arxiv.org. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/0804.0327>.
- Uffink, Jos (1995). "Can the Maximum Entropy Principle be Explained as a Consistency Requirement?" *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics*, **26B**: 223–261. URL [http://www.phys.uu.nl/~wwwgrnsl/jos/](http://www.phys.uu.nl/~wwwgrnsl/jos/mepabst/mepabst.html) [mepabst/mepabst.html](http://www.phys.uu.nl/~wwwgrnsl/jos/mepabst/mepabst.html).
- <span id="page-143-0"></span>— (1996). "The Constraint Rule of the Maximum Entropy Principle." *Studies in History and Philos[op](#page-142-0)[hy](#page-144-0) [o](#page-135-0)[f](#page-136-0) [M](#page-137-0)[o](#page-132-0)[d](#page-133-0)[e](#page-144-0)[rn](#page-85-0)*  $2Q$
[Entropy and Information](#page-2-0) [Entropy and Ergodicity](#page-67-0) [Relative Entropy and Statistics](#page-86-0) [References](#page-137-0)

*Physics*, **27**: 47–79. URL [http://www.phys.uu.nl/](http://www.phys.uu.nl/~wwwgrnsl/jos/mep2def/mep2def.html) [~wwwgrnsl/jos/mep2def/mep2def.html](http://www.phys.uu.nl/~wwwgrnsl/jos/mep2def/mep2def.html).



イロト イ団 トイヨ トイヨ トー

 $2990$ 重。